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## Information Content of Data

- Fishery assessments depend on detection of relationship between population and removals
- At a minimum, would like to answer the following questions,
- What level of exploitation will maintain the status quo?
- What level of exploitation is necessary to increase probability of population growth?
- What levels are expected to result in population decline?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "...we have concluded that the control charts based on the same principles as } \\
& \text { those used in the quality control of manufacturing processes could, if suitably } \\
& \text { developed, be a most useful tool for this purpose." } \\
& \text { Beverton and Holt } 1957
\end{aligned}
$$

## Two Pieces of Data

- Time series of catch
- Can use landings if that's all you have
- Time series of relative abundance (index)
- Fishery independent survey
- CPUE

Create relative F and replacement ratio

## Relative F

$$
\operatorname{relF}_{t}^{C}=\frac{C_{t}}{\left(\frac{I_{t-1}+I_{t}+I_{t+1}}{3}\right)} \quad \operatorname{relF}_{t}^{L}=\frac{C_{t}}{\left(\frac{I_{t-2}+I_{t-1}+I_{t}}{3}\right)}
$$

where relF $_{t}=$ relative $F$ at time $t$
$C_{t}=$ catch or landings of stock $s$ at time $t$ (weight)
$I_{t}=$ index of abundance at time $t$ (weight)

## Replacement Ratio

Derivation starts with basic biology, then does some simple algebra to produce

$$
\Psi_{t}=\frac{B_{t}}{\alpha B_{t-1} S^{1} W_{1}+\alpha B_{t-2} S^{2} W_{2}+\alpha B_{t-3} S^{3} W_{3}+\ldots+\alpha B_{t-(A-1)} S^{A-1} W_{A-1}+\alpha B_{t-A} S^{A} W_{A}}
$$

Next substitute $I_{t}=q B_{t}$ and make some simplifying assumptions to end with

$$
\Psi_{t}=\frac{I_{t}}{\sum_{j=1}^{A} \frac{I_{t-j}}{A}}
$$

When the replacement ratio is greater than one the population is growing; and vice versa.
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Initial Data: Landings and Survey




## Phase-plane Survey vs relative F

## /

g Gulf of Maine Haddock, Fall Survey

Note that the recovery path is generally different that the depletion path.




Negative correlation between replacement ratio and relative F is expected. Is it greater than expected due to chance alone?

Construct the sampling distribution of correlation coefficient using randomization techniques.


Observed Correlation between
Replacement ratio and relative $\mathrm{F}=-0.632$
Prob(Corr $<-0.632$ ) $<0.001$


Use bootstrapping to estimate uncertainty in relative F at replacement.


## Status Determination

- Current relF vs relF threshold : overfishing
- Current index vs index threshold: : overfished
- Need external info, relF threshold $=$ MSY/index $_{\text {threshold }}$

| AIM | Age-based Assessment |  |  | Odds ratio 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Overfishing <br> Not Overfishing | Overfishing | Not Overfishing |  |
|  |  | 5 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 1 | 5 |  |
| AIM |  | Age-based Assessment |  |  |
|  |  | Overfishing | Not Overfishing |  |
|  | Overfishing | 9 | 2 | Odds ratio 12 |
|  | Not Overfishing | 3 | 8 |  |

## Nonstationarity




Simple models can fail when complex models fail

## What Else Can Go Wrong?

- Infinite number of replacement levels
- Time series only heavily over or under fished
- Will still get an estimated relF threshold , but may not be optimal
- Strong recruitment pulse can cause positive relationship between relF and replacement ratio
- Too much noise in catch or index can result in non-significant relationship between relF and replacement ratio
- Catch may not be major influence on abundance


## What Isn't Needed

- Biology
- M
- Longevity
- Maturity
- Fishery
- Selectivity
- Index
- Catchability coefficient
- Large amount of time to conduct analysis


## http://nft.nefsc.noaa.gov/




## Suggestions for California

- Apply simple methods such as AIM to all stocks with available data
- Some models won't work
- Diagnostics might hint towards what went wrong to guide future data collection
- Not much invested, so not much lost
- Some models will work
- Quick advice
- Evaluate whether more data collection warranted
- Simple $\neq$ bad

