

# GOPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Piatt JF, Parrish JK, Renner HM, Schoen SK, Jones TT, Arimitsu ML, et al. (2020) Extreme mortality and reproductive failure of common murres resulting from the northeast Pacific marine heatwave of 2014-2016. PLoS ONE 15(1): e0226087. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226087

**Editor:** David Hyrenbach, Hawaii Pacific University, UNITED STATES

Received: July 14, 2019

Accepted: November 18, 2019

Published: January 15, 2020

**Copyright:** This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the <u>Creative</u> <u>Commons CC0</u> public domain dedication.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information Files, with the exception of data found elsewhere: Beach survey and necropsy data from studies conducted by the USGS Alaska Science Center (DOI: https://doi.org/10.5066/P9E8RE9I); pathology/necropsy data from the USGS National Wildlife Health Center (DOI: https://doi.org/10. 5066/P9O4Y5EO); Beach survey data available from COASST (www.COASST.org), BeachCOMBERS (https://data.cencoos.org), and RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Extreme mortality and reproductive failure of common murres resulting from the northeast Pacific marine heatwave of 2014-2016

John F. Piatt<sup>1</sup>\*, Julia K. Parrish<sup>2</sup>, Heather M. Renner<sup>3</sup>, Sarah K. Schoen<sup>1</sup>, Timothy T. Jones<sup>2</sup>, Mayumi L. Arimitsu<sup>4</sup>, Kathy J. Kuletz<sup>5</sup>, Barbara Bodenstein<sup>6</sup>, Marisol García-Reyes<sup>7</sup>, Rebecca S. Duerr<sup>8</sup>, Robin M. Corcoran<sup>9</sup>, Robb S. A. Kaler<sup>4</sup>, Gerard J. McChesney<sup>10</sup>, Richard T. Golightly<sup>11</sup>, Heather A. Coletti<sup>12</sup>, Robert M. Suryan<sup>13</sup>, Hillary K. Burgess<sup>2</sup>, Jackie Lindsey<sup>2,14</sup>, Kirsten Lindquist<sup>15</sup>, Peter M. Warzybok<sup>16</sup>, Jaime Jahncke<sup>16</sup>, Jan Roletto<sup>15</sup>, William J. Sydeman<sup>7</sup>

1 U.S. Geological Survey, Alaska Science Center, Anchorage, Alaska, United States of America, 2 University of Washington, School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, COASST, Seattle, Washington, United States of America, 3 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge, Homer, Alaska, United States of America, 4 U.S. Geological Survey, Alaska Science Center, Juneau, Alaska, United States of America, 5 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Migratory Bird Management, Anchorage, Alaska, United States of America, 6 U.S. Geological Survey, National Wildlife Health Center, Madison, Wisconsin, United States of America, 7 Farallon Institute, Petaluma, California, United States of America, 8 International Bird Rescue, San Francisco Bay Center, Fairfield, California, United States of America, 9 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge, Kodiak, Alaska, United States of America, 10 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge Complex, Fremont, California, United States of America, 11 Department of Wildlife, Humboldt State University, Arcata, California, United States of America, 12 National Park Service, Fairbanks, Alaska, United States of America, 13 NOAA Fisheries, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auk Bay Laboratories, Ted Stevens Marine Research Institute, Juneau, Alaska, United States of America, 14 Moss Landing Marine Laboratories, BeachCOMBERS, Moss Landing, California, United States of America, 15 NOAA Greater Farallones National Marine Sanctuary, Beach Watch, San Francisco, California, United States of America, 16 Point Blue Conservation Science, Petaluma, CA, United States of America

\* jpiatt@usgs.gov

# Abstract

About 62,000 dead or dying common murres (*Uria aalge*), the trophically dominant fish-eating seabird of the North Pacific, washed ashore between summer 2015 and spring 2016 on beaches from California to Alaska. Most birds were severely emaciated and, so far, no evidence for anything other than starvation was found to explain this mass mortality. Threequarters of murres were found in the Gulf of Alaska and the remainder along the West Coast. Studies show that only a fraction of birds that die at sea typically wash ashore, and we estimate that total mortality approached 1 million birds. About two-thirds of murres killed were adults, a substantial blow to breeding populations. Additionally, 22 complete reproductive failures were observed at multiple colonies region-wide during (2015) and after (2016– 2017) the mass mortality event. Die-offs and breeding failures occur sporadically in murres, but the magnitude, duration and spatial extent of this die-off, associated with multi-colony and multi-year reproductive failures, is unprecedented and astonishing. These events cooccurred with the most powerful marine heatwave on record that persisted through 2014– 2016 and created an enormous volume of ocean water (the "Blob") from California to Alaska with temperatures that exceeded average by 2–3 standard deviations. Other studies

Beach Watch (www.farallones.org/BeachData/ BeachWatchData.php), raw data requests at COASST@uw.edu, jharvey@mlml.calstate.edu, jan. roletto@noaa.gov, respectively, with data use agreement. Murre breeding biology data are available upon request from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wildlife Refuges (NWR) (https://www.fws.gov/refuges/) including San Francisco Bay NWR Complex, Castle Rock NWR, Oregon Coastal NWR Complex, and the Alaska Maritime NWR, and from Pointblue Conservation Science (jjahncke@pointblue.org). Data on pelagic distribution of murres in Supporting Information were from the USGS North Pacific Pelagic Seabird Database (NPPSD) (https://doi.org/10.5066/ F7WQ01T3). Data on sea surface temperatures were from the Hadley Center Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature (HadISST) data set freely

available at (https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/ hadisst/). Funding: These analyses were supported by funding from the USGS Ecosystems Mission Area and the North Pacific Research board to JFP; and by NSF EHR/DRL award 1322820 and Washington

Department of Fish and Wildlife award 13-1435 to JKP, and NPS Ocean Alaska Science and Learning Center grant to HAC. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

indicate that this prolonged heatwave reduced phytoplankton biomass and restructured zooplankton communities in favor of lower-calorie species, while it simultaneously increased metabolically driven food demands of ectothermic forage fish. In response, forage fish quality and quantity diminished. Similarly, large ectothermic groundfish were thought to have increased their demand for forage fish, resulting in greater top-predator demands for diminished forage fish resources. We hypothesize that these bottom-up and top-down forces created an "ectothermic vise" on forage species leading to their system-wide scarcity and resulting in mass mortality of murres and many other fish, bird and mammal species in the region during 2014–2017.

# Introduction

Marine heatwaves (hereafter "heatwaves"), defined as prolonged periods where ocean temperatures are much warmer than usual [1,2], have recently emerged as a major mode of ocean-climate variability that can significantly alter marine ecosystem structure, phenology and marine species distributions [3,4]. Heatwaves have become more prevalent and intense over the last century [4]. Under climate change projections of 2–3.5 °C warming relative to pre-industrial levels, the expected intensity, frequency, spatial extent and duration of heatwaves by the end of the twenty-first century may well cause unprecedented and irreversible changes to marine ecosystem functionality and stability [5]. Here we examine impacts of a recent severe heatwave on marine ecosystems of the northeast Pacific and discuss some potential mechanisms by which extreme ocean heating has affected pelagic food-webs in this region.

During late 2013, a warm temperature anomaly developed in near-surface (upper ~100m) waters well offshore in the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) which grew to encompass a large area of the northeast Pacific Ocean [6]. Offshore sea surface temperatures (SSTs) during the winter of 2013–2014 exceeded 3 standard deviations in some areas and persisted over much of the central GOA through March 2015 [6]. While the offshore anomaly diminished somewhat through the summer of 2014, positive SST anomalies over the entire northeast Pacific re-intensified towards the end of 2014, moved into the coast [7] and persisted into the fall and winter of 2015–2016 [3]. Maximum temperature anomalies at times exceeded 3–6°C throughout the range of the heatwave from southern California [7] to the GOA [3], and extended to depths of ca. 50–200 m [8,9]. The period in which temperatures exceeded climatological thresholds for a sustained period (Aug 2014- July 2016) has been classified as a "severe" (Category III) heatwave [10]. At the time of publication, the spatial extent, magnitude and duration of this heatwave were the largest on record [4,6].

Some immediate biological effects of this unprecedented heatwave were equally extreme. For example, phytoplankton production in the central north Pacific waters was greatly reduced [11]; the largest harmful algal bloom in recorded history extended from California to the GOA in 2015 [12,13]; a massive die-off of planktivorous Cassin's auklets (*Ptychoramphus aleuticus*) occurred from central California to British Columbia in the winter of 2014–2015 [14], a marked increase in mortality of pinnipeds was noted in southern California [15], and an unusually large die-off of baleen whales occurred in the GOA in 2015–2016 [16].

We report on another extreme biological impact of the 2014–2016 heatwave: The widespread die-off and chronic reproductive failure of a trophically dominant piscivorous marine bird, the common murre (*Uria aalge*), over much of their northeast Pacific distributional range from the southeast Bering Sea south to the California Current System (CCS). Murre dieoffs occur irregularly in the Pacific and Atlantic oceans, often on wintering grounds, during stormy conditions, and under circumstances where food supplies are depleted or unavailable [17,18]. They have also been linked with warm water anomalies, such as the strong El Niño events in 1983, 1993, and 1998 [19–21].

Here we document the magnitude of the 2015–2016 murre die-off in terms of its spatial extent, duration, absolute numbers of dead or dying birds recovered, and relative magnitude of deposition on beaches relative to long-term baselines. We used data collected by systematic and repeated surveys for beached birds conducted by citizen science participants in the north-east Pacific [22], opportunistic surveys conducted in Alaska by government, university and private organizations, community reports, and records from bird rehabilitation centers. We also document concurrent reproductive failures of murres at multiple breeding colonies from Alaska to California. We hypothesize that the northeast Pacific heatwave was a source of simultaneous bottom-up and top-down forcing, and we discuss potential mechanisms for the disruption of food supplies that resulted in murre mortality and reproductive failure.

# Materials and methods

#### Oceanography

We used sea surface temperature (SST) data from the Hadley Center Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature (HadISST) data set [23] to illustrate temperature perturbations related to the northeast Pacific heatwave. A time series of average SST anomalies (SSTa) for the GOA and the California Current System (CCS) were calculated for years 1870–2018. Areas that were analyzed separately included the GOA region:  $50^{\circ}$ N- $61^{\circ}$ N,  $160^{\circ}$ W- $126^{\circ}$ W; CCS northern region:  $40^{\circ}$ N- $46^{\circ}$ N,  $126^{\circ}$ W- $124^{\circ}$ W; CCS central region:  $35^{\circ}$ N- $40^{\circ}$ N,  $126^{\circ}$ W- $120^{\circ}$ W; and CCS southern region:  $31^{\circ}$ N- $35^{\circ}$ N,  $120^{\circ}$ W- $117^{\circ}$ W. The seasonal cycle was calculated by averaging all values of each month for the period 1870-2018. We subtracted the seasonal cycle (mean SST) from the SST to obtain the anomaly time series (SSTa). We estimated annual rates of SST change between years by calculating  $\Delta$ SST = SSTYearN+1 –SST<sub>YearN</sub> and then calculated a 5-year running average to obtain an annual index of SST change rate (°C year<sup>-1</sup>).

## **Ethics statement**

Specimens that were salvaged for necropsies and testing for disease, biotoxins, etc., were collected under U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) permit, i.e., the Migratory Bird Master Permit/Import-Export (MB025076-0) and State of Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) Scientific Permit issued to the USFWS Regional Director. U.S. Geological Survey personnel operated under the same permits, as issued to the Alaska Biological Science Center USFWS (MB789758-2) and the ADF&G.

#### **Beach surveys**

Effort-standardized surveys for beachcast marine birds at monthly or more frequent intervals were conducted at predetermined survey sites by participants of three beached bird citizen science programs: Beach Coastal Ocean Mammal/Bird Education and Research Surveys (Beach-COMBERS) [24], Beach Watch [25], and the Coastal Observation and Seabird Survey Team (COASST) [22] (Table 1). Standardization was achieved primarily by measuring the distance of beach transects (generally less than a few km) and calculating encounter rates as [birds found]/[km searched]. Other protocols designed to make comparisons among beaches, date and location more accurate usually included: walking the same area of beach each visit, surveying a strip centered on the most recent high-tide wrack line and adjacent zones, identifying

Location	Standardized beach surveys					Number of murres reported			
	Source	Start	#sites	#surv	#km tot	Surveys	Public	Rehab	TOTAL
Chukchi	COASST	2006	5	25	47	14		0	14
Aleutians	COASST	2006	7	34	39	10	200	0	210
Bering Sea	COASST	2006	9	110	114	23	300	0	323
Gulf of Alaska	COASST	2006	52	423	359	4289		0	4289
Gulf of Alaska	DOI	2015	114	164	381	20240	21435	552	42227
SE Alaska	COASST	2006	11	91	124	11	383		394
Salish Sea	COASST	1999	210	1771	2075	10			10
N Washington	COASST	1999	37	310	492	884		24	908
S Washington	COASST	1999	41	381	564	914		108	1022
N Oregon	COASST	2001	55	476	645	1249		332	1581
S Oregon	COASST	2001	34	232	345	353		3	356
N California	COASST	2006	47	403	428	357		52	409
C California	B.Watch	1994	40	975	1516	2927		680	3607
SC California	COMBERS	1994	46	403	1125	5071		1614	6685
TOTALS			708	5798	8253	36352	22318	3365	62035

Table 1. Standardized beached bird survey effort, number of murres reported on surveys, and murres reported by members of the public or delivered to rehabilita-<br/>tion centers between May 2015 and Apr 2016. Sources: COASST- Coastal Observation and Seabird Survey Team, DOI- Department of the Interior agencies, B.Watch-<br/>Beach Watch, COMBERS- BeachCOMBERS.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226087.t001

species from field guides and photographs, marking carcasses to avoid duplicate counting, and searching during ebbing or low tides [22,24–27]. All three programs provide participants with extensive training in both survey protocol and carcass identification (which were verified photographically). Because BeachCOMBERS (34.04°-36.98°N) and Beach Watch (37.12°-38.97°N) programs occur only along the California coast, we assumed all unidentified murres were common murres. For COASST surveys conducted from northern California to northern Washington (39.13°-48.34°N) we made the same assumption. In the Gulf of Alaska (bounded from SE Alaska corner 54.75°N, -130.30°W, to northern Cook Inlet 61.31°N, -150.71°W, and west to Unimak Pass 54.29°N, -165.06°W), both common and thick-billed murres (*Uria lomvia*) were possible and species were identified based on bill morphology and facial plumage [28]. Less than 1% of all murres in the COASST dataset were identified as thick-billed murres, and most of those were in the Bering Sea (as far north as 68.80°N, -163°W, as far west as 52.30°N, 176.08°E). For simplicity, we assumed that unidentified murres were common murres.

All carcasses found were marked or removed to prevent recounting. For analysis of carcass deposition per effort (km walked), we divided program surveys into two categories: event (May 1, 2015 to April 30, 2016) and baseline (all surveys prior to May 2015). Because each program was created at different points in time (Table 1), and expanded at different rates, we calculated two baselines: (1) 2006–2015 across all programs (i.e. baseline period was invariant across locations); (2) program-specific start year through 2015. We only use the first baseline (2006–2015) for analyses in this paper, even though it meant discarding data, because it differed little from program-specific baselines (S1 Text) and it standardizes data for program comparisons.

Additional surveys were undertaken in Alaska, including 164 standardized [26], effort-controlled surveys conducted on 114 beaches by several Department of Interior agencies (U.S. Geological Survey [USGS], U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Park Service) and opportunistic data collected by the public on beaches, inland or at sea (beach-walker, hiker, hunter, boater) or other wildlife biologists at 260 sites visited for other purposes. Public Opportunistic data occasionally included an estimate of beach survey effort (in linear km), but survey effort and carcass numbers were most often approximated. Some murres were also encountered inland and along lakeshores, and locations were attributed to a single point source.

#### Specimen collections and necropsies

Specimens were collected during the study period (May 1, 2015 to April 30, 2016) and examined in three different efforts:

Rehabilitation centers. We contacted 72 bird rescue and rehabilitation ("rehab") centers from southern California to Alaska (S1 Table) to obtain data on common murres recovered from beaches by the public and examined by veterinarians or other staff during 2015–2016 (S1 Fig). Of 66 that responded, 29 reported no common murre recoveries and 37 reported intakes totaling 3,365 murres. Of those, 2,868 birds were visually or manually examined for condition or diagnosis (e.g., sick, emaciated, dead on arrival, injured, oiled) at the time of intake, with ultimate disposition subsequently recorded (e.g., died, rehabilitated and released, etc.). Birds described variously as emaciated, thin, starving, skinny, underweight, or malnourished were simply categorized as emaciated. No birds were excluded from the tally of total birds encountered because: 1) there is always some background deposition of murres on beaches due to other mortality factors in addition to starvation, and so these should not be excluded as we compare 2015-2016 mortality rates against historical averages, and, 2) murres may be oiled or injured as they drift towards shore in coastal waters with heavy boat traffic and chronic oil pollution, 3) the diagnoses are not mutually exclusive. The majority (90%) of birds died prior to or soon after intake. The remaining 10% were released back into the wild, often without rehabilitation where facilities were limited, and their survival rate was likely low. Just under half of birds (and few from Alaska) were weighed on intake (n = 1,568) and aged (n = 1,298) based on morphometrics and/or plumage following Pyle et al. [29]. Subsets of each of these samples were created to delineate juvenile (HY) from older birds (AHY, includes adults, subadults) birds (S2 Table).

**USGS National Wildlife Health Center (NWHC).** Common murre carcasses were collected from multiple coastline locations along the GOA (n = 89) and southern Bering Sea (n = 14) and shipped to the NWHC for diagnostic examination by American Veterinary Medical Association certified pathologists [30]. We sought out birds that were "fresh", i.e., they had been dead less than a couple days to a week, all body parts were intact, and birds had not been scavenged or have exposed muscle or bone [27]. Measurements, ancillary laboratory testing and postmortem findings to support cause of death determination varied by individual specimens based on carcass and tissue postmortem quality (S2 Table). Weight (n = 90) and sex (n = 87) were recorded. Age class (n = 101) was determined from bursa of Fabricius, thymus and gonad development as: juvenile (HY), and subadult or adult (AHY). Samples were collected from the proventriculus or cloaca, if available, and analyzed for saxitoxin (n = 39) and domoic acid (n = 9) exposure at the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, Washington, US using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; [31]).

**USGS Alaska Science Center.** Fresh (see above) common murre carcasses (n = 117) collected off Alaskan beaches (n = 88) between 1 November 2015 and 11 April 2016 or provided by Alaska rehab centers (n = 29), were necropsied by agency biologists in Anchorage, Alaska (S2 Table). Not all characteristics were assessed on all birds, and subsets of data were collected for each parameter, including mass (n = 97), body condition, which we rank-scored by visually assessing amounts of pectoral muscle (n = 101) and subcutaneous fat (n = 102) [32], age (n = 36) and sex (n = 105) [33]. Birds were aged as hatch year (HY) if they had a bursa [34,35] and if gonads were not developed, if plumage (outermost underwing primary covert) were

white-tipped, characteristic of HY/SY [29] and if culmen length was <40 mm [29]. AHY body mass was contrasted to 219 AHY specimens collected at seven GOA breeding colonies between May and September 1988–1999 by USGS (J. Piatt, unpubl.), as well as to carcasses (n = 116) recovered during a previous die-off in the GOA [20]. In these murres, any bird with AHY body mass approaching or falling below 650 g would be considered in Phase III starvation [36] and in immediate danger of dying.

#### Murre breeding ecology

Reproductive success (rs = chicks fledged/egg laid) of common murres was obtained from 21 monitoring sites in our study area (13 in Alaska, 1 in Oregon, and 6 in California), including data collected by Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge (NWR), Togiak NWR, Becharof NWR, USGS Alaska Science Center, Alaska Department Fish and Game, Institute for Seabird Research and Conservation, Oregon State University, Humboldt State University, Point Blue Conservation Science, San Francisco Bay NWR Complex and Farallon Islands NWR. Data collection consisted of systematic recordings (photographic or hand-drawn) of the status (i.e., presence of egg or chick) of a subset of nest sites within long-term plots [37]. Time series of reproductive success varied in length from 10 to 45 years among colonies. Standardized anomalies of breeding success were calculated for the entire time series of each colony, but anomalies were plotted using: a) only data collected after 1984 (owing to scarcity of data at most colonies in earlier years), and, b) using a mean rs for the baseline period 1996–2014; the period for which regular annual monitoring was initiated at 6 new index colonies in Alaska by Alaska Maritime NWR, and which has a near-complete time series at all but 2 of 11 long-term sites used in this study (Yaquina Head, Castle Rock N). For analysis, we consider the time series divided into event: 2015-2017, and pre-event baseline: 1996-2014.

Under a wide range of prey densities, average common murre *rs* is usually high and variance is low (e.g., [38,39]). At 11 colonies dispersed throughout their range in the northeast Pacific (n = 246 colony-years), mean *rs* (±SD) during 1972–2014 was 0.55 ±0.20 chicks fledged/egg laid. Thus, we defined a "reproductive failure" as *rs* that fell more than 2 SD below that mean (i.e. < 0.14), rounded down here to  $rs \le 0.10$  chicks fledged/egg laid. "Complete reproductive failure" is defined as *rs* = 0, when no chicks whatsoever are produced.

## Data analysis

To illustrate spatial magnitude of the event, carcass count data of murres on both systematic and opportunistic beaches sampled, and records of live and moribund murres rescued from point locations were compiled for the event period (May 1, 2015 to Apr 30, 2016). Raw data were binned into 75x75 km cells for mapping; a scale big enough to aggregate higher resolution (km) beach surveys or point samples and prevent excessive overlap of adjacent abundance circles, but small enough to track coast and island geography (individual beach survey sample sites are plotted in S2 Fig). Not all shoreline was searched for birds during the die-off period, particularly in Alaska. Thus, the map underestimates the extent of the die-off in Alaska and may overemphasize the die-off in regions with a high density of survey sites on the coasts of California, Oregon and Washington (hereafter "West Coast").

Deposition of carcasses on beaches is a measure that incorporates both detection and persistence and is proxied by carcass encounter rate. To examine the seasonal variation of relative carcass abundance within and among large geographic regions, raw beach survey data from citizen science programs (above) were standardized to carcasses encountered per linear km of coastline by survey [14] and averaged within geo-region by month (May 2015 to April 2016; Table 1). Relative magnitude of carcass encounter rate was calculated as 2015/2016 encounter rates divided by the baseline encounter rate, presented as a month-specific average across all baseline years (GOA: 2005–2014, Washington: 2001–2014, Oregon: 2001–2014, N. California: 2006–2014, N. Central California: 1994–2014, S. Central California: 1997–2014). Magnitude was also calculated using an equivalent baseline time period (2006–2014) for all geo-regions (S1 Text).

In order to determine whether carcass encounter rates in 2015/16 were significantly higher than previous years we calculated bootstrap 95% confidence intervals of mean encounter rate at the region and month-year scale. Each bootstrap estimate was calculated by drawing n samples (with replacement) of survey-specific encounter rate from the pool of available surveys for that month-year and region (Gulf of Alaska, Outer coast of Washington, Oregon, N California, C California and SC California–see Table 1), with n equal to the number of unique beaches surveyed in that month-year. A distribution of mean encounter rate was then generated by performing 1,000 bootstrap permutations, subsequently processed to obtain a 95% confidence interval specific to that month-year and region.

The average for each calendar month (i.e. the baseline) was then calculated by a second round of bootstrap resampling using the distributions generated in the previous step (S1 Text). Monthly encounter rates from May 2015 to April 2016 were then compared to the long-term baseline to identify whether they were significantly higher/lower than expected. We classified each month according to two significance criteria; (1) whether the encounter rate was significantly higher/lower than the long-term average (i.e. no overlap of corresponding 95% CI's), (2) whether the encounter rate was significantly higher than any prior year for that calendar month (i.e. 2015/16 data was higher and had none overlapping 95% CI's compared to all prior years) (S1 Text).

#### Results

#### Oceanography

The observed warming in the GOA from winter 2014 through winter 2016 was unprecedented in the period since instrumental record-keeping began (1870–2017; Fig 1A). The overall change in magnitude (Fig 1A), and rate of temperature change (Fig 1B), from the most recent cold anomaly (ca. 2007–2012) to the peak warm anomaly (2014–2016) exceeded any previous warming event in the GOA. While the magnitude of SSTa and rate of change in the northern CCS were notable, they were not unprecedented. In the central CCS, the magnitude of the SSTa was large but not unusual, whereas the high rate of warming was greater and more persistent than any time in the past. In the southern CCS (including most of California), the SSTa and rate of change were more extreme than even those in the GOA, and unprecedented in the ~150-year time series. Thus, while all areas were affected by the heatwave, and each developed strong temperature anomalies, it appears that both the heatwave magnitude and rate of warming were most extreme in the northern and southern reaches of its extent. It is noteworthy that while the "heatwave" did not reach the anomalous warm temperatures that defined it [1,10] until August of 2014, high rates of increase (>0.25  $\Delta$ SST) actually began much earlier, i.e., during 2012 in all areas.

#### **Die-off event description**

During the event year (May 2015 to April 2016) ~62,000 murre carcasses were reported from a vast range of coastline spanning more than 6,000 km (Table 1, Fig 2). Of these, ~40,000 were obtained from standardized surveys or rehab center reports. Impacts of the heatwave on murres appeared to be most extreme in the northern GOA and the southern CCS. Although few thick-billed murres (*Uria lomvia*) were detected on beach surveys overall (<0.1% of those



**Fig 1.** Average monthly time series (Jan 1870 to Dec 2018) of sea surface temperature anomalies (SSTa) in the (a) Gulf of Alaska (GOA); and in (c) northern (nCCS); (e) central (cCCS); and (g) southern (sCCS) waters of the California Current System (CCS). Solid black lines are 24-month running averages. Also presented are 5-year running averages of annual SST differences, an index of SST change rate, for the (b) GOA, and (d) nCCS, (f) cCCS and (h) sCCS. Average long-term SST values for each region are: GOA 8.1° C, nCCS 12.5° C, cCCS 13.9° C, sCCS 16.2° C.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226087.g001

identified on COASST surveys in Alaska and West Coast), they did comprise a higher proportion of total murres (n = 47) observed on Bering (15%) and Chukchi Sea (86%) beach surveys. Otherwise, the vast majority of murres observed on beaches in the GOA and CCS were common murres.

Encounter rates from southcentral California to the GOA were significantly elevated relative to baseline (Fig 3), and this trend was extreme in the GOA, with magnitudes 10x to 1,000x normal for 9 continuous months (Fig 3A). In the GOA month-averaged encounter rates were the highest recorded (relative to monthly baselines: 2006–2014) from May 2015 through to March 2016 (except for June 2015), with the majority representing a statistically significant departure from baseline (Fig 3A). In addition, from September 2015 to January 2016 (except October 2015), month-averaged encounter rates were significantly higher than any previous year of data collection in the Gulf of Alaska (Fig 3A). Numbers counted in other parts of Alaska, including southeast Alaska, and the Bering and Chukchi Seas, were not remarkable (Table 1, encounter rates not shown) but these are vast, scarcely populated areas and COASST sampling was limited. In the GOA, the elevated mortality signal was unusually prolonged, beginning in May 2015 coincident with onset of breeding, and peaking at over 1,000x normal in December 2015 and January 2016, representing an average encounter rate of over 50 carcasses per km. By April 2016, encounter rates had dropped to 10x baseline.

In the CCS, murre carcass encounter rate is typically about 1–3 carcasses per km during late summer and early fall (July-October; Fig 3B–3F) largely due to juvenile mortality following the breeding season. This is markedly higher than the baseline in the GOA, which typically peaks at ~0.1 carcasses per km (Fig 3A). In Washington and Oregon, encounter rates were statistically higher than baseline from August to September of 2015. This represented the highest encounter rates ever recorded for those calendar months in Washington (Fig 3B), although encounter rates were at or below average, and significantly lower in November of 2015 (Fig 3D). In North-Central California, encounter rates were significantly higher than baseline and were the highest on record for September to November (Fig 3E), and into December in South-central California (Fig 3F). However, confidence intervals for these months overlapped with one or more prior years of data collection (S1 Text). Overall, mortality rates were most elevated above average in the north and south extents of the heatwave.

#### Necropsies

Of the common murre carcasses collected in Alaska and necropsied at the National Wildlife Health Center, 79% were AHY (68% adult, <u>S2 Table</u>) and 68% were female. All AHY birds were emaciated (mean mass = 711.1g  $\pm$  95.0 SD) and severely underweight compared to live healthy birds collected at colonies during the breeding season (n = 219 AHY, mean mass = 1054.0  $\pm$ 94.3 SD; Fig 4). Emaciation was characterized by moderate-to-severe pectoral muscle atrophy and absence of subcutaneous, epicardial and visceral fat reserves. Emaciation was the most significant postmortem finding contributing to death in the majority of birds necropsied. A few (n = 4) individuals had mild-to-moderate nematode and/or cestode intestinal parasite infections (insignificant to death) and one had septicemic salmonellosis. There



**Fig 2.** Numbers of dead or moribund common murres observed on beaches that were surveyed systematically (gold circles; ~monthly) and with opportunistic beach surveys and rehab captures (red circles). Areas in which zero dead murres were encountered during surveys are indicated by white circles. All remaining coastlines (without any circles) were not surveyed. Note the California Current System is divided roughly into 3 sections: north (nCCS), central (cCCs) and south (sCCS).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226087.g002



**Fig 3.** Monthly averaged encounter rates (carcasses per km, gray bars) for the (A) Gulf of Alaska, (B) Washington, (C) Oregon, (D) northern California, (E) central California, and, (F) southern California coastlines. Black lines are baseline encounter rates, yellow shadings are 95% confidence intervals, and red lines show relative magnitude of encounter rates in 2015/2016 compared to the 2006–2015 baseline. Colored squares indicate whether month-averaged encounter rate was significantly higher than baseline and whether they were significantly higher than any prior year of data collection for that calendar month. Asterisks indicate that the mean encounter rate in the corresponding month was the highest value ever recorded. Note that the GOA baseline is so low that it cannot be seen on the chart. Relative magnitude was calculated as the 2015/2016 encounter rates divided by the baseline mean value.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226087.g003

was no other evidence of infectious disease. Trace levels of saxitoxin (1.4-3.9 ppb) were detected in 20% of samples (n = 8) tested. Domoic acid was not detected.

From subsamples necropsied at the ASC, we determined that 67% of birds were female, 89% were AHY birds (64% adult), and 11% were HY. Nearly all (97%) birds examined for pectoral condition were scored as emaciated and of those scored for subcutaneous fat most had zero (83%) or very little (17%). Only 7% of birds (n = 100) had food remains (trace amounts, mostly bone fragments) in the gizzard. Mass of all birds (n = 97) averaged 715.2  $\pm$ 79.9 SD. Overall, body mass of murre carcasses collected during the event year were comparable to that of murre carcasses measured (n = 116 AHY, mean mass = 666.0  $\pm$ 92.4 SD) during a similar die-off in Prince William Sound in March 1993 [20].

#### **Rehabilitation birds**

Of 3,365 murres examined at rehabilitation centers, 8% were dead on arrival or euthanized immediately; 47% were described primarily as emaciated; 5% were injured in some way (e.g. broken wing); and 5% were oiled. The remainder (35%) had non-specific information (e.g., beached, sick, weak). The frequency of these conditions varied from south to north, with 91–



**Fig 4.** Body mass of common murres collected: (a) at seven breeding colonies in the Gulf of Alaska (GOA), May-September 1988–1999; (b) after a large die-off of murres on the Kenai Peninsula, GOA, February-March 1993; (c) at scattered locations in the GOA and Bering Sea, May 2015-April 2016; (d) along the central coast of California (37°-42° N), May 2015-April 2016; and, (e) along the southern coast of California (32°-37° N), March 2015-April 2016. The vertical dashed line represents the approximate critical mass below which mortality is expected in starved common murres (phase III starvation). The vertical dotted line indicates the cutoff mass below which birds were likely youngof-the-year fledglings.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226087.g004

99% of birds classified as "starving" in Oregon, Washington and Alaska, while "other" causes increased in frequency in southcentral California (13%) and northcentral California (25%). Birds received at rehab centers in California exhibited a bimodal pattern of mass distribution (Fig 4), reflecting a large proportion of HY (34% south and southcentral; 55% northcentral and north) birds. Average mass ( $\pm$ SD) of AHY birds was similar to those observed elsewhere (south and southcentral: 682.7 g  $\pm$ 78.0, n = 402; northcentral and north: 675.6 g  $\pm$ 72.0, n = 157; Fig 4).

#### Murre reproductive failures

Just under one quarter of the Alaskan common murre population resides on colonies regularly monitored for attendance and reproductive success (*rs*) (Fig 5; [40]). Out of 138 colony-years (i.e. sum of colonies monitored times years of effort) at large relatively stable colonies from 1995 through 2014, only one complete (*rs* = 0 chicks/pair [ch/p]) and seven low (*rs*  $\leq$  0.10 ch/p), see Methods) reproduction failures have been observed (at Round Island, Cape Peirce). Aiktak, a small (~1200 birds) colony in the eastern Aleutians, is the only monitored colony with frequent reproductive failure (12/21 years), which may be why it has decreased rapidly in size in recent decades [40].

During the 2015 breeding season, two annually monitored colonies (Chowiet, Amatuli) failed completely, as did one occasionally monitored site: Gull Island (pre-2015 mean = 0.54 ch/p). In the GOA, these three sites comprise ~26% of the common murre population. Only two regularly monitored colonies reported an above average *rs* (Fig 5).

In 2016, reproductive failures expanded in the GOA and Bering Sea. Seven of eight annually monitored colonies in the GOA and Bering Sea, and five intermittently monitored colonies in the GOA (Barwell, Nord, Gull, and Duck islands; Oil Creek) failed completely (0 ch/p). Of regularly monitored colonies, only Chowiet in the Semidi Islands (GOA) produced fledglings (0.48 ch/p) but far fewer birds attempted to breed (39% of pre-2015 high count of 4283 murres on monitoring plots). Additional signs of reproductive difficulties during 2016 in the GOA included late egg-laying (if it occurred at all), irregular attendance and total abandonment at some colonies.

Reproductive difficulties continued in 2017, as four out of seven regularly monitored colonies failed completely (Cape Pierce, Round, Aiktak, St. Lazaria), and two others (St. Paul 0.02 ch/p, Amatuli 0.15 ch/p) experienced failure or unusually low success (below 2 SD of longterm mean (Fig 5). In addition, two irregularly monitored colonies (Gull, Duck) failed completely. Only Chowiet performed above its long-term mean (2017: 0.66 ch/p), but again, only 43% of pre-2015 numbers were seen on nesting ledges so total production would be only 28% of pre-2015 numbers.

In summary, 13 common murre colonies in the GOA and Bering Sea experienced a complete failure (0 ch/p) in reproduction at least once during the event years (2015–2017). Multi-year failures were documented in 8 colonies. Out of 31 colony-years of *rs* observed during the event years, 25 (81%) were below their long-term average, 19 (61%) were complete failures (0 ch/p), and 6 more (mean = 0.16 ch/p) were well-below average.





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226087.g005

There is evidence that common murres in the CCS also experienced depressed reproductive success, albeit to a lesser degree and somewhat lagged in comparison to Alaska. Reproductive

success in the CCS population is usually stable, as informed from three decades of monitoring (1985–2014) at 5 colonies representing ~64% of the CCS population (Fig 5). Reproductive success only fell below 1 SD of the mean in 14% (12/83) of colony-years, and below 2SD of the mean in 5% (4/83) of colony years (Fig 5). In contrast, rs fell 1 SD below the mean 50% of the time (7/14 colony-years) during 2015–2017. At Yaquina Head (OR), a colony already depressed by disturbance from bald eagles (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) and brown pelicans (Pelecanus occidentalis)[41], near (<0.02 ch/p in 2016) or complete failures (0 ch/p) were recorded in all three years. At Castle Rock North, the largest murre colony in northern CA, success plummeted from baseline average of 0.75 ch/p in 2007–2014 to 0.17 ch/p in 2016 (>2 SD below mean), followed by complete failure (0 ch/p) in 2017. At the Farallon Islands, the largest colony in central CA, rs was moderate (0.45–0.58 ch/p) in 2015–2017, and consistently below the baseline average (0.69 ch/p), while rs at nearby Pt. Reves was at or above (0.49–0.73 ch/p) baseline average (0.50 ch/p). Finally, at two other small colonies south of the Farallons, rs in 2015–2017 was slightly above average (mean = 0.65 ch/p, n = 22 years) at Devil's Slide—a small colony that was re-established with social attraction—and well-below (~2 SD) the longterm average at Castle Rocks South in 2016 (Fig 5).

# Discussion

#### Die-off magnitude and timing

The 2015–2016 common murre die-off in the northeast Pacific is unprecedented globally in magnitude, spatial extent and duration. It occurred during a heatwave that was also severe (Category III) in magnitude, spatial extent and duration (711 days, [10]). The relative impact was greatest in Alaska, where ~47,000 carcasses reflected encounter rates that were up to a thousand times higher than usual. Peak encounter rates topped 4,600 carcasses/km in Prince William Sound. Many (~14,500) birds were also found on West Coast beaches, but part of this total resulted from much larger beach survey and rehabilitation efforts (S1 and S2 Figs). About one-third of all birds counted on West Coast beach surveys (~11,800) can be accounted for by average background mortality in the region, and about three-quarters of the above-average (~5-10X) mortality was concentrated in the southern California Current System (CCS) (Fig 3). Although strong heatwave anomalies occurred throughout the ~6000 km spatial range over which murres died, highest mortality rates occurred along an ~1,000 km arc of coastline in the northern Gulf of Alaska (GOA) and an ~500 km stretch of coastline in the southcentral and southern CCS, areas that overlapped spatially with the strongest SST anomalies and most rapid rates of warming (Fig 1).

To put numbers into perspective with other mass mortalities in Alaska, biologists counted 22,800 emaciated (average 704 g) murre carcasses along an ~700 km stretch of coast on the southeast Bering Sea following a severe storm during April 1970 [42]. Aerial surveys averaged 80 carcasses/km (maximum 5440 carcasses/km) and total mortality was estimated conservatively to exceed 100,000 birds. Following the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill in March 1989, ~30,000 seabirds (74% murres) were recovered along a ~750 km stretch of coast in the northern GOA [43]. Based on a variety of *in situ* experiments to determine how many carcasses made it on shore and were likely to be counted (see below), models predicted that 300,000 to 645,000 birds actually died at sea [44,45]. In March 1993, about 3500 dead murres were recorded on beaches in the northern GOA; all were severely emaciated (Fig 4). Deposition and persistence rates of murres on beaches were calculated from repetitive surveys [26] which indicated that a total of 10,900 murres were deposited cumulatively on the beaches surveyed. Assuming very conservatively that 90% of birds at sea came ashore, and that 10% of beaches in the die-off region had been surveyed, it was estimated that ~120,000 murres died in this wreck [20].

Few birds were recovered on beaches in British Columbia or southern southeast Alaska (Fig 2), but this is a notable gap area in the distribution of murres during both summer and winter [46] (S3 Fig). In addition to a scarcity of murres, this area is sparsely populated and there was little search effort there (Fig 2). Along the U.S. West Coast, murres are widely abundant (S3 Fig) and one of the more common species recorded on beach surveys, especially juvenile murres after they depart colonies in late summer [22,25,47]. However, there are few historical reports of natural die-offs involving more than hundreds or low thousands of birds, or of adults in particular. The recovery of ~8100 carcasses above the baseline is unprecedented for a "natural" die-off on the West Coast but has been surpassed in magnitude by the mortality of tens of thousands of murres in oil spills [46].

A few exceptionally large die-offs have also occurred elsewhere in the world. During winter of 2013–2014, a total of 54,982 seabirds, mostly (54%) Atlantic puffins (*Fratercula arctica*) and common murres (29%), came ashore from Portugal north to the Shetland Islands, but mostly (80%) along the French coast [48]. This number "is likely to be a large underestimate of the final death toll." Most mortality was attributed to starvation, perhaps precipitated by a powerful storm and difficulties foraging. There was no heatwave happening at the same time, but the die-off followed a nearly 30-year increase in SST in the North Atlantic from the 1980s through 2000s. This long-term increase in ocean temperature was implicated in the decline of several seabird populations during this period, as well as a reduction in abundance and quality of some forage fish species [39,49–52]. Elsewhere, following a major heatwave in the Tasman Sea [53] and after a severe winter storm off New Zealand in 2011, more than 53,840 dead prions (80% broad-billed prions *Pachypatila vittata*) were counted on long-term survey beaches during July and August [54,55]. Carcasses were found over the entire west coast of New Zealand and densities exceeded 1000 birds/km on several beaches. Total mortality was estimated conservatively at 250,000–500,000 individuals.

Counts of dead seabirds on beaches following mass mortality incidents represent a minimum measure of total mortality. They do not include carcasses that sink at sea, or those washed ashore that are removed by scavengers or buried in sand and debris. Furthermore, the frequency and thoroughness of beach surveys ultimately determines how many carcasses will be discovered and counted [14,44,56]. Experimental studies (n = 19) conducted by releasing marked alcids (and/or decoys) at sea when systematic beach surveys were underway indicate that under a wide range of conditions at least 6.9x (95% CI 4.3x to 14.2x) more birds die at sea than are found on nearby beaches [57]. Recovery rates ranged between 0% and 61%, and much depends on the specifics of every experiment (e.g. wind direction, extent of search effort, etc.). Actual mass mortality events exhibit a range of expansion factors of similar magnitude (e.g., Tasman Sea 5x-10x [54]; Gulf of Biscay 5x-17x [57]; Gulf of Alaska in 1989 10x-22x [44,45]) or larger magnitudes (e.g., Gulf of Alaska in 1993 34x [20]; Gulf of Mexico 80x-950x [58]; Bering Sea 579x [59]). The largest multipliers were attached to studies of prolonged mortality (e.g. DeepWater Horizon oil spill, [58]) or those estimated by extrapolating from transects at sea (e.g. [59]).

In this study, owing to logistic constraints and geographic expanse, we measured few or none of the factors needed to model total mortality in the areas most affected. However, we can draw upon a comparable study of carcass counts [45] conducted after the 1989 *Exxon Valdez* oil spill (EVOS) in which rates of carcass sinking, deposition, persistence, and search effort, were all measured in the core area of the oil spill zone [43], which also happens to overlap considerably with the area of highest murre mortality during the 2015–2016 heatwave. If we apply expansion factors determined from that study to bracket the lower (10x) and upper (22x) estimated limits of total mortality in the heatwave, we estimate that between 470,000 to 1,030,000 birds died in the Gulf of Alaska during the heatwave. This total probably included birds

overwintering from Bering Sea colonies (see below), and it suggests that as much as one quarter of all murres breeding in the Gulf of Alaska and southeast Bering Sea (~4.5 million, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service colony estimates and correction factor for birds at sea [46]) might have been killed.

On the West Coast, we don't have a comparable model to estimate total mortality. However, we know that beach survey coverage was more comprehensive on the West Coast, and so we used the conservative range of expansion factors from experimental studies (above, [57]) to estimate that 4x to 14x more birds than the ~14,500 murres counted were killed, i.e., between 58,000 and 203,000 birds. This would comprise about 4 to 14% of the CCS population (~1.5 million, estimated as above).

Taken together, the total impact of the heatwave on common murre populations throughout all areas was likely between 0.53 and 1.2 million birds, or approximately 10–20% of total populations (~6 million). The fact that most birds killed in the die-off were probably breeding adults compounds the seriousness of the mortality for the population [60], and it will take longer for recovery of the population than if the die-off had affected mostly juveniles [60,61].

#### Reproductive failure at colonies

The extreme reproductive failures of common murres that occurred during summer 2015 and in the two years after the main die-off were also focused in Alaska and occurred less frequently in the California Current System (CCS). Considering the low number of birds encountered on beaches in the Bering Sea, breeding failures at colonies there were surprisingly similar in magnitude to those in the Gulf of Alaska (GOA). This reduction in juvenile production will significantly delay recovery of populations in all affected colonies [60]. Also, the number of birds attending colonies in the Bering Sea (data from USFWS [40] and USGS averaged in 3-year windows before and after the 2015–2016 die-off, weighted by colony size) declined more in the Bering Sea (>80%) than in the GOA (>50%). Whether these declines were due to reduced attendance because of deferred breeding [62], or a crash in colony populations due to a crash in food supply [61] is still not clear. Either way, reproductive failures and reduced attendance in the Bering Sea suggest that prey deficits were also experienced by murres in the southeastern corner of the Bering Sea.

The frequency of total reproductive failures (n = 22), overall reduced breeding success and decline in numbers that occurred at multiple colonies in the northeast Pacific during 2015–2017 is a cause for astonishment and alarm. The common murre is probably the most widely studied seabird in the Northern Hemisphere and total reproductive failures at wellestablished colonies have been rare during some 70+ years of detailed observations (Fig 5) [17,39,40,46,63]. A smaller-scaled but similar die-off of murres in association with a collapse of forage stocks (capelin, sand lance, juvenile Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua*) occurred in the Barents Sea in 1986 [61]. Large common murre populations at many colonies in that region subsequently declined by 60–95% in a single winter [64]. Recovery of forage stocks and murre population growth started in the next year. However, two decades passed before murre populations recovered to pre-crash levels [61]. It remains to be seen when (or whether) murre populations in Alaska will recover from the heatwave in light of predicted global warming trends and the associated likelihood of more frequent heatwaves [5].

## **Causal factors**

Several acute biological responses to this unprecedented heatwave were observed throughout the northeast Pacific. Phytoplankton biomass in the northeast Pacific transition zone waters was lower in winter 2014 than in any year measured since 1997 [11]. The largest and most

wide-spread harmful algal bloom in recorded history—a bloom of Pseudonitzschia—extended from California to the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) in 2015 [12,13]. Fundamental shifts in coastal productivity indices [11] and micronekton assemblages [65] were also associated with this sustained warming event. Large predatory groundfish in Alaska, including trophically and commercially dominant species such as walleye pollock (Gadus chalcogrammus), Pacific cod (Gadus macrocephalus), arrowtooth flounder (Atheresthes stomias) and Pacific halibut (Hippoglossus stenolepis) all declined in body condition and some in abundance (e.g., cod, see below) during heatwave and post-heatwave years of 2015–2017 in the GOA and Bering Sea [66–69]. A large die-off of planktivorous Cassin's auklets (Ptychoramphus aleuticus) occurred from central California to British Columbia (BC) in the winter of 2014–2015 [14] followed by a large die-off of rhinoceros auklets (Cerorhinca monocerata) in the same region during 2016 [70]. Hundreds-to-thousands of young-of-the-year California sea lions (Zalophus californianus) died in 2014 and 2015, and Guadalupe fur seals (Arctocephalus townsendi) died in large numbers and experienced reproductive failures during 2015 [15,71,72]. A record total of 79 humpback and fin whales stranded during 2015-2016 in Alaska and British Columbia waters, mostly for "unexplained" reasons, and mostly in the GOA [16]. This was accompanied by a >50% decline in summer populations of humpback whales, evidence of malnutrition ("skinny whales"), and near complete absence of calves in Glacier Bay between 2014–2017 [73].

A common thread to most of these events was that they involved either a loss in productivity or a mass mortality of higher trophic-level animals, both of which point to problems in food production or availability. All the vertebrate predators affected also share a common dietary dependence on a few key forage species (see below) and this points to a bottleneck in the forage base. These events all occurred within, and for some years after, the time-frame of the 2014–2016 heatwave, and over an enormous spatial range involving three large marine ecosystems (CCS, GOA and Bering Sea). This calls for an explanation that is plausible for all species and regions, and that involves water temperature as a driving force—either directly or indirectly. With respect to murres, we offer three non-exclusive hypotheses to explain the cause of these events: 1) temperature-mediated changes in the distribution and quality of the prey base available to murres; 2) harmful algal blooms associated with warm water anomalies; and, 3) temperature-enhanced competition from ectothermic predators.

Bottom-up effects: Murres as marine predators. Reduction in primary production, and ultimately zooplankton or forage fish biomass, has been implicated in past seabird die-offs and reproductive failures (e.g., [14,27,46,61,74-78], often in association with anomalous oceanographic conditions (too warm, too cold, loss of upwelling, etc.). In order to understand how murres are affected by climate-mediated bottom-up changes in their forage base, we need to first consider their foraging ecology and the types of prey they eat. Throughout their Pacific range, common murres feed on a wide variety of prey, but around any particular colony they select among just a few species that may be found nearby such as sand lance (Ammodytes personatus), capelin (Mallotus catervarius) and other smelt, Pacific herring (Clupea pallasii), Pacific sardine (Sardinops sagax), northern anchovy (Engraulis mordax) and euphausiids (e.g., large *Thysanoessa* species), as well as juvenile age classes of salmon, gadids, hexagrammids, rockfish and squid [79-83]. (Note, we lump euphausiids and squid with "forage fish" here because a few invertebrates are also consumed in abundance by "piscivorous" groundfish, seabirds and marine mammals, especially in winter). Common murres are extremely well adapted for foraging on continental shelves; they fly faster than any other northern seabird [84], are capable of traversing any shelf in the CCS or GOA within hours, and, they are deep divers, making the entire shelf habitat accessible [85]. This is probably why breeding failures and dieoffs have been historically rare (see above).

On the other hand, as endotherms living in hostile, cold environments, murres maintain high metabolic rates (2.14 kJ/g/d [86]) and assuming an average body mass of 1054 g in the GOA (Fig 4) and a base energy value for "high quality" prey (5.0 kJ/g wet, [87]), murres need to eat 56% of their body mass every day to meet daily metabolic demands. Murres in Alaska generally eat age-0 or age-1 forage fish that weigh approximately 5–10 g ([88–90], J.F. Piatt and M. Arimitsu, unpubl. data), so to maintain body mass, murres would have to catch and eat about 60–120 high-lipid forage fish every day. If only smaller or leaner prey (e.g., juvenile pollock) were available, then the number needed could double [87,91]. By comparison, an ectothermic cod of similar size to a murre would only need to eat about 0.4–1.5% of its own body mass (BM) in food per day [92], i.e., as little as 1–3 high-quality forage fish a day.

This is the ultimate "Achilles heel" for murres, and one that sets it far apart from competing ectothermic groundfish (eating typically 0.1–1% of BM/d) and endothermic marine mammals [93] including large cetaceans (1–2% BM/d) or small cetaceans and pinnipeds (5–15% BM/d). If murres can't fully meet this food demand every day, they lose body condition quickly and jeopardize survival. If they can't find *any* food for 3–5 days, they will die of starvation [36]. The fact that common murres are the most successful and abundant piscivorous seabirds breeding in the Northern Hemisphere speaks to their remarkable ability to meet this demand day-after-day. However, shifts in taxonomic composition of prey fields in response to changing environmental conditions have been shown to dramatically reduce murre foraging success, reproductive success and survival occasionally [61,83,94], demonstrating that even these superlative marine predators have limits [38,95]. Still, examples of such limitations in murres are rare and the magnitude of the events reported on here are beyond extraordinary.

**Bottom-up effects: Shifts in the prey base.** Warming of subarctic shelf waters may lead to both vertical (deepening) [96,97] and northward migrations of these forage species, or entire communities, according to thermal gradients and tolerances [98–100], a phenomena widely observed during the 2014–2016 heatwave [14,101–103]. In the California Current System (CCS), shifts in zooplankton [101] and forage fish diversity [65,103] signaled a persistent northward expansion of southern species. Zooplankton shifts may have also resulted in a depleted food chain in terms of relative energy transfer [101], although larval forage fish species were actually more abundant in samples off central California and central Oregon [65,103]. In contrast, anchovies and sardines in the CCS both declined by 2–3 orders of magnitude from the mid-2000s to 2014 [104,105]. Although these declines preceded the heatwave, fish and plankton net sampling in Oregon and Washington indicate that catches of these forage fish, as well as of euphausiids were further depressed in 2015 and 2016 [9,12,70,71].

In the Gulf of Alaska (GOA), a similar introgression of smaller zooplankton was observed, along with a breakdown in established SST-phytoplankton-zooplankton dynamics after 2013 [102]. Shifts to earlier peak biomass of smaller copepods associated with warmer temperatures [102] were cited as potential factors in concomitant declines in forage fish quality in Prince William Sound in the winter of 2015–2016 [106,107]. Shifts in forage fish availability in the GOA were apparent in marine bird diet starting in 2014 [108], with a sharp decline in capelin and an increase in sablefish (*Anoplopoma fimbria*), combined with a slow rebound of sand lance. In GOA waters, sand lance began a long steady decline in the early 2000s, to a low in 2011, and remained low to 2015 [67,109]. Capelin stocks were depressed after the 1976 regime shift [110], rebounded dramatically in 2007 as the GOA entered a new cold phase [67,109], and collapsed again during the heatwave in 2014–2016 [67,100]. In 2015 and 2016, age-0 pollock larvae in the GOA were 2–3 orders of magnitude less abundant than average; indicating complete recruitment failures for pollock [67,68]. In sum, latitudinal shifts in zooplankton and forage fish prey, combined with overall depression of major prey taxa, apparently created marginal foraging conditions for murres for several years.

In addition to shifts in latitudinal abundance of specific taxa, warm water conditions diminished body condition and somatic growth of ectothermic forage fish. Body condition of capelin and sand lance in the GOA and CCS was reduced during the heatwave, resulting in smaller, less energy-dense prey for murres [107,111-113]. Whole-body energy content of age-1 sand lance declined by 44% in 2015 and 89% in 2016 in Alaska [114], and body condition of sand lance in the northern CCS declined markedly in 2014–2015 [113]. Presumably, consumed food was re-directed to fuel metabolism rather than somatic growth or fat storage [69,115]. Similarly, others [116] have shown marked reduction in growth of several CCS forage species during warming events, including the 2015–2016 heatwave. These included northern anchovy, Pacific herring, Pacific sardine, surf smelt (Hypomesus pretiosus), and whitebait smelt (Allosmerus elongatus), all common prey for murres in the CCS. They also demonstrated a marked change in forage fish diets in 2015-2016, from energy-rich plankton species to energy-poor gelatinous species; a change they ascribe to a restructuring of nektonic communities that occurred in response to the heatwave [116]. Thus, the heatwave increased metabolic demand of forage fish while at the same time it reduced the quality of some prey eaten by forage fish, creating a bottleneck for mass/energy flow to higher trophic levels, including seabirds (Fig 6). As all fish and invertebrates are ectothermic, this effect could potentially have far-reaching impacts on food webs in the GOA and CCS [69,117].

**Bottom-up effects: Toxigenic algae.** Increased ocean temperatures during and following the heatwave have been associated with harmful algal blooms (HABs) [13,118], which are known to cause marine bird mortality, primarily through plankton-derived toxicants entering the food chain and occasionally resulting in die-offs of thousands of birds [119]. Saxitoxin and domoic acid have been widely detected in top marine predators [31,120] but we know little about toxicity levels or effects of chronic exposure in most cases.

During the common murre mass mortality event, an extensive HAB of a toxigenic diatom (Pseudo nitzschia sp.) that commonly produces domoic acid was documented in coastal California from March through June 2015 [13] resulting in bioaccumulation of domoic acid in northern anchovies (*Engraulis mordax*), one of the main prey species of common murres [121]. Investigators [120] detected low levels of domoic acid in tissues of beach cast common murres during and after the 2015 bloom (July-November). Nonetheless, they concluded that starvation "was likely the ultimate cause of death" and that any harmful algal bloom effects were secondary. In Alaska, it remains unclear whether HABs played any role in the elevated mortality rates of common murres during the 2015–2016 heatwave. Immediate testing for domoic acid in murres was minimal (n = 9 birds) and none was detected, but Pseudo nitzschia were 2-3X more abundant than average on the GOA shelf during 2014 and 2015 (S. Batten, pers. comm.). Saxitoxin, which can cause paralytic shellfish poisoning, has been linked to mortality of seabirds in Alaska [122] and concentrations of saxitoxin in some areas peaked during the summers of 2014–2016 [118]. Trace levels of saxitoxin (1.4–3.9 ppb) were detected in eight of 39 murre samples (stomach or cloacal content) obtained by the National Wildlife Health Center and tested immediately in 2015–2016 (see Methods). Later analyses of an additional 56 murres at the USGS Alaska Science Center, including die-off and healthy specimens, as well as samples of forage fish and invertebrate prey collected in 2015–2017, revealed a low to moderate frequency (20%-54%) of saxitoxin occurrence among taxa groups; but all at low concentrations [123]. Domoic acid was found in a single bird, and in some prey taxa (4%-33%). Authors noted that all biotoxin values were below levels reported in other seabird die-offs where causal links were established between toxin concentration and bird mortality, and as such, do not support a hypothesis that algal bloom biotoxins were a primary cause of murre mortality in Alaska [123].



Fig 6. Illustration of the "ectothermic vise" hypothesis to explain the dramatic decline of forage fish and starvation of murres across three large marine ecosystems during the 2014–2016 marine heatwave. We propose that an unusually warm layer of water in the NE Pacific, persisting for more than 2 years, had a powerful cumulative effect on ectothermic groundfish (stimulating food intake rate) and ectothermic forage fish (reducing their quality) leading to a strong top-down and bottom up (vise-like) impact on murre survival and reproductive success.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226087.g006

Furthermore, the likelihood that HAB toxins were a primary and acute causal factor in the die-off appears small given that the center of the murre mass mortality event was the GOA, and the extended duration of the die-off (9 months of 100x baseline) both preceded and extended well past peak HAB bloom windows [118]. Also, we should have seen behavioral changes in affected birds as well as a larger number of species affected if HABs were a primary source of mortality [120,124]. Nonetheless, we are still lacking in basic information (e.g., what is a lethal dose?) about HAB effects on marine birds, and it cannot be ruled out as a contributing factor to the die-off [123]. We need more information on the depuration rates of HAB toxins, acute toxic levels (e.g., LD<sub>50</sub>) and the effects of chronic toxin exposure in order to fully assess their potential contribution to the die-off [120,123].

**Top-down effects: Resource competition from ectotherms.** In addition to affecting spatial distribution of large predatory groundfish [125], increasing water temperature has the immediate and predictable effect of increasing metabolic rate, and usually food demand, of these marine ectotherms when they are operating within preferred temperature regimes [92,126]. The influence of this ecological "master factor" [127] on groundfish must have been substantial during the extreme 2014–2016 heatwave, but this pathway of upper trophic impact has been largely overlooked as a factor in regulating populations of groundfish, or other marine predators that compete with groundfish for food [92,117,128,129].

Recent modeling [92] of the effect of temperature on metabolic rate and food consumption in the GOA of three dominant groundfish predators including walleye pollock (*Gadus chalcogrammus*), Pacific cod (*Gadus macrocephalus*) and arrowtooth flounder (*Atheresthes stomias*) showed that an increase of 2°C in the GOA from pre-heatwave (1981–2011) temperatures would have increased food consumption of these species by 70%, 34% and 65% respectively. If we weight each species consumption estimate by its population size (stock biomass [130]), then the increase in prey demand by all species combined would be 63% higher than it was before the temperature increase. Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) show a similar response to increasing temperature in the GOA and Bering Sea [69].

The micronekton most commonly eaten by these groundfish include several species also favored by murres and other avian piscivores, especially capelin, sand lance, juvenile pollock, herring and euphausiids (especially in winter) [131]. Given the size of these three groundfish stocks in 2015 (4.48 M mt, [130]) and calculated consumption rates [92], these groundfish would have consumed ~10 M mt/yr of prey in 2015 if temperatures remained average. By comparison, total annual forage consumption by the ~2.5 million common murres in the GOA (calculations following [46,132,133]) total only ~0.45 M mt/yr. Thus in 2015, without a temperature increase, predatory groundfish would have consumed approximately ~20 times more total prey biomass, and ~6 times more forage fish biomass than murres (since fish comprise about a quarter of these groundfish diets; [134]). The 2°C increase in water temperature would have pushed ectothermic groundfish prey consumption to  $\sim$ 15 M mt/yr, and thereby substantially increase forage fish grazing rates. Given that groundfish typically out-consume seabirds by 10:1 or even 100:1 ratios in northern shelf ecosystems [135,136], a 60% increase in consumption rates by groundfish should have some consequences for seabirds. No comparable modelling of temperature impact on metabolism of fish in the CCS has been undertaken, but the CCS shelf sustains at least 3.1 M mt of large predatory fishes (mostly hake Merluccius productus, rockfish, flatfish] [137,138], comparable to biomass density in the GOA and likely to also have provided significant increases in competition with murres during warming events.

If the GOA marine ecosystem was operating at "relative equilibrium" [139,140] prior to the heatwave, then we hypothesize that this massive increase in foraging rate would have eventually led to prey deficits [69,136] for the groundfish themselves (creating intra-specific competition) and for other competitors such as seabirds and marine mammals (creating inter-specific competition) [136,141]. In this scenario, murres would be more sensitive to reductions in key forage fish species than competing groundfish, which typically have much broader diets and less sensitivity to fluctuations in any one prey type [136, 142]. Also, it would presumably require a passage of some time for elevated grazing to deplete prey stocks below critical levels needed by murres. In fact, it was almost a full year from start of the "official" heatwave (August 2014, [10]) and more than 3 years after the rate of warming turned positive in 2012 (Fig 1) before a few murre colonies experienced reproductive failure and elevated murre mortality appeared in the GOA and northern CCS (Figs 3 and 5). Murre mortalities increased and persisted through fall in the GOA and southern CCS, and then peaked in the GOA during December 2015 and January 2016, a full 18 months after initiation of the heatwave. Murre die-offs diminished to background levels by April-June of 2016, as water temperatures returned to normal [10]. In contrast, reproductive failures peaked at 13 colonies during the summer of 2016 (23-24 months from heatwave initiation), continued at 9 colonies in 2017, and declined to

only 4 colonies in 2018 (USGS, USFWS unpubl. data), although it is bracing to remember that a synchronized failure of even 4 murre colonies would have once been considered an extreme event. Overall, these findings suggest that prey stocks were replenished slowly during the 2 years after the heatwave ended in summer 2016, or that some sort of relative equilibrium among ectothermic and endothermic predators was being re-established following a large cull of bird, fish and mammal populations, or both.

While murres were visibly dying en masse and failing to reproduce in 2015-2017, adult Pacific cod populations in the GOA were silently crashing underwater. Following three years in which commercial catches were well below quotas in the GOA (2015–24%, 2016–35%, 2017-45%), and a severe reduction in abundance of some older age cohorts occurred, the allowable catch quota for 2018 was reduced by 80% from the 2017 level [129]. The decline in the cod stock was attributed to reduced adult survival from starvation owing to a major reduction of forage in diets (especially capelin), coupled with a large increase in metabolic rates and food demands [129]. The same changes appeared in arrowtooth flounder, to a lesser degree [69,143]. In addition, the authors concluded that "other ectothermic fish species would be expected to have similarly elevated metabolic demands during the warm conditions, increasing the potential for broad scale prey limitations", a conclusion that would seem to fit Pacific halibut in the Bering Sea and GOA as well [69]. Indeed, we might expect elevated consumption from some more of the other 30 commercial groundfish species [130], five species of salmon, and non-commercial fish species, alongside the usual competition from other common endothermic piscivores in the GOA, including at least thirty other species of seabirds, ten cetaceans, and five pinnipeds [144,145]. Finally, we find independent supporting evidence for potential basin-scale resource competition between large predatory fish and seabirds in the Bering Sea, where biennial high-low cycles in pink salmon (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha) abundance result in high rates of forage consumption in high salmon years, and create synchronized biennial cycles in seabird body condition and reproductive success (both low in high salmon years [141,146,147]).

**Summary- effects of an ectothermic vise.** During the most powerful marine heatwave on record [10], an extreme die-off and chronic breeding failure of common murres in the NE Pacific resulted from a widespread shortage of forage fish across three large marine ecosystems. Major impacts on murres included a large reduction (possibly 10–20%) in the breeding population and a severe reduction in productivity that will dampen recruitment for several years. Food shortages were also documented during the 2014–2016 heatwave in many other piscivorous marine predators including groundfish, seabirds and marine mammals. And finally, a variety of ichthyoplankton and pelagic fish studies provided direct evidence for major forage fish declines during 2015–2016 in many of the key species eaten by murres from California to the Bering Sea.

Our hypothesis to explain the wide-spread depletion of forage fish is based on three facts: 1) metabolic rate, food intake rate, somatic growth, fat storage, fecundity and survival in marine ectotherms are strongly modulated by water temperature, 2) these physiological and life history traits are adapted to function optimally over relatively small ranges of water temperature that are species-specific, and, 3) physiological efficiency declines markedly when ambient temperatures wander too far above or below the optimal temperature range. This is why fish seek out water with temperatures that optimize these parameters, e.g., by migrating vertically in and out of warm surface layers or migrating geographically to stay within waters that suit their tolerances and/or facilitate various activities (e.g., migration, foraging, predator avoidance, "hibernation", etc.). Such effects were noted widely in zooplankton, ichthyoplankton, forage fish and groundfish during the heatwave. However, murres and many other marine predators are highly mobile and deep-diving, and it is unlikely that simple distributional changes in

forage fish can account for all the widely observed starvation, mortality and breeding failures in murres.

A more plausible hypothesis is that persistently warm water temperatures modulated ectotherm physiology everywhere at the same time, and forage fish were caught in an "ectothermic vise" (Fig 6). At lower trophic levels, warm waters changed zooplankton community composition, sometimes by the loss of key high-lipid species, sometimes by immigration of less nutritious warm-water species, or both. Consequently, the flow of energy to forage fish was disrupted, even as their own metabolic demand increased. This likely led to reduced somatic growth and fat storage [69,107]. In turn, this reduced survival in some forage fish (or age-classes) and lowered the nutritional quality of forage fish for seabirds (Fig 6). At higher trophic levels, the stimulation of metabolic rates in larger predatory fish led to a huge increase in their intake of forage fish [69,92]. This, in turn, led to a steady depletion of forage stocks for the 2-year duration of the heatwave, and an increase in competition for a dwindling supply of forage (Fig 6).

An ectothermic vise on forage fish ought not to be expected under every scenario of ocean warming, and much may depend on how well community thermal optima are aligned with temperature regimes before, during and after a heatwave occurs [92,117]. Still, it might be useful to model metabolically regulated trophic relationships within marine communities under future warming scenarios in order to assess the potential impact of future warming events on marine food webs. As noted by John Bruno et al. [117] "temperature-driven changes in metabolism play an important and informative role in controlling many of the patterns and processes that interest ecologists. A small but growing body of research suggests that the effect of temperature on marine populations and communities is at least as strong as other factors that receive far greater attention, e.g., competition, predation, and resource availability."

# Acknowledgments

Beach surveys and specimen collections were conducted by trained citizen scientists and professional biologists from southern California to Alaska, and we appreciate their diligent and persistent monitoring efforts. Reproductive success data were collected by the FWS Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge (NWR), Togiak NWR, Becharof NWR, San Francisco Bay NWR Complex, Farallon Islands NWR, USGS, Institute for Seabird Research on Conservation, Oregon State University, Humboldt State University and Point Blue Conservation Science (Contribution #2196), and we thank all the staff, interns and collaborators who assisted in these efforts for more than 30 years. We also thank the staff and volunteers at 66 bird rehabilitation centers (S1 Table) that responded to our requests for data, the compassionate citizens everywhere who took time to rescue distressed murres, and to Nancy Naslund for contacting these organizations and compiling all the rehabilitation data. We appreciate USGS NWHC pathology assessments by D. Green, V. Shearn-Bochsler, S. Knowles, J. Lankton, and M. Isidoro Ayza. We thank Robert Dusek, Davi Castro Tavares, David Hyrenbach, and an anonymous reviewer for their helpful reviews of the manuscript; Stephani Zador, Steve Barbeaux, Rick Brodeur, Sarah Ann Thompson, Vanessa Von Biela, Caroline Van Hemert, Gus Van Vliet, Shannon Atkinson, Chris Gabriele, John Moran, Anne Hollowed, Kirstin Holsman, Sasha Kitaysky, Matt Baker, Steve Kress, William Montevecchi, and Nancy Naslund for stimulating discussions on heatwave impacts, and to Gary Drew for help with GIS maps and necropsies. Special thanks to David Irons for alerting us to the extraordinary die-off of murres in Prince William Sound, and to Tony DeGange, Brielle Heflin, Erica Madison, Chris Klosterman, Alex von Wichman, Rob Campbell and Anne Schaefer for help with remote surveys

during winter in Alaska. Any mention of trade names is for descriptive purposes only and does not constitute endorsement by the Federal Government. The findings and conclusions in this article do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Park Service.

# **Supporting information**

S1 Table. Wildlife rehabilitation organizations contacted in California, Oregon, Washington, British Columbia and Alaska.

(DOCX)

S2 Table. Common murre collection details and measures of mass, sex, and age class. (DOCX)

**S1 Fig. Distribution and numbers of live birds received at 37 rehabilitation centers.** (TIF)

S2 Fig. Locations of individual surveys conducted for beached birds during the period May 1, 2015 to April 30, 2016. (TIF)

**S3 Fig. Summer and winter distribution of Common Murres in the NE Pacific.** (TIF)

S1 Text. Methods for bootstrap calculation of significance in testing rates of carcass encounter on beach surveys in different months and years. (DOCX)

S1 Dataset. Data collected on common murres taken in by bird rehabilitation centers in California, Oregon, Washington and Alaska. (XLSX)

S2 Dataset. Beach survey data on common murres summarized monthly, including survey effort, counts, and 95% CIs of mean murre encounter rate. (XLSX)

# **Author Contributions**

- **Conceptualization:** John F. Piatt, Julia K. Parrish, Heather M. Renner, Sarah K. Schoen, Timothy T. Jones, Mayumi L. Arimitsu, Kathy J. Kuletz, Barbara Bodenstein, Marisol García-Reyes, Rebecca S. Duerr, Robin M. Corcoran, Robb S. A. Kaler, Heather A. Coletti, William J. Sydeman.
- Data curation: John F. Piatt, Heather M. Renner, Sarah K. Schoen, Timothy T. Jones, Barbara Bodenstein, Marisol García-Reyes, Rebecca S. Duerr, Robin M. Corcoran, Robb S. A. Kaler, Gerard J. McChesney, Richard T. Golightly, Robert M. Suryan, Hillary K. Burgess, Jackie Lindsey, Kirsten Lindquist, Peter M. Warzybok.
- Formal analysis: John F. Piatt, Heather M. Renner, Sarah K. Schoen, Timothy T. Jones, Mayumi L. Arimitsu, Barbara Bodenstein, Marisol García-Reyes, William J. Sydeman.
- **Funding acquisition:** John F. Piatt, Julia K. Parrish, Heather M. Renner, Kathy J. Kuletz, Rebecca S. Duerr, Robin M. Corcoran, Gerard J. McChesney, Richard T. Golightly, Heather A. Coletti, Kirsten Lindquist, Jaime Jahncke, Jan Roletto, William J. Sydeman.

- Investigation: John F. Piatt, Julia K. Parrish, Heather M. Renner, Sarah K. Schoen, Mayumi L. Arimitsu, Kathy J. Kuletz, Barbara Bodenstein, Marisol García-Reyes, Rebecca S. Duerr, Robin M. Corcoran, Robb S. A. Kaler, Gerard J. McChesney, Richard T. Golightly, Heather A. Coletti, Robert M. Suryan, Hillary K. Burgess, Jackie Lindsey, Kirsten Lindquist, Peter M. Warzybok, Jaime Jahncke, Jan Roletto, William J. Sydeman.
- Methodology: John F. Piatt, Julia K. Parrish, Heather M. Renner, Sarah K. Schoen, Timothy T. Jones, Mayumi L. Arimitsu, Kathy J. Kuletz, Barbara Bodenstein, Marisol García-Reyes, Rebecca S. Duerr, Robin M. Corcoran, Hillary K. Burgess, William J. Sydeman.
- Project administration: John F. Piatt, Julia K. Parrish, Heather M. Renner, Mayumi L. Arimitsu, Kathy J. Kuletz, Barbara Bodenstein, Gerard J. McChesney, Richard T. Golightly, Heather A. Coletti, Robert M. Suryan, Hillary K. Burgess, Kirsten Lindquist, Jaime Jahncke, Jan Roletto, William J. Sydeman.
- Resources: John F. Piatt, Julia K. Parrish, Heather M. Renner, Timothy T. Jones, Mayumi L. Arimitsu, Kathy J. Kuletz, Barbara Bodenstein, Marisol García-Reyes, Rebecca S. Duerr, Robin M. Corcoran, Robb S. A. Kaler, Gerard J. McChesney, Heather A. Coletti, Robert M. Suryan, Jackie Lindsey, Kirsten Lindquist, Peter M. Warzybok, Jaime Jahncke, Jan Roletto.
- Software: Timothy T. Jones, Marisol García-Reyes.
- Supervision: John F. Piatt, Julia K. Parrish, Heather M. Renner, Mayumi L. Arimitsu, Kathy J. Kuletz, Barbara Bodenstein, Gerard J. McChesney, Richard T. Golightly, Hillary K. Burgess, Kirsten Lindquist, Jan Roletto, William J. Sydeman.
- Visualization: John F. Piatt, Julia K. Parrish, Heather M. Renner, Sarah K. Schoen, Timothy T. Jones, Mayumi L. Arimitsu.
- Writing original draft: John F. Piatt, Julia K. Parrish, Heather M. Renner, Sarah K. Schoen, Timothy T. Jones, Mayumi L. Arimitsu, Kathy J. Kuletz, Barbara Bodenstein, Marisol García-Reyes, William J. Sydeman.
- Writing review & editing: John F. Piatt, Julia K. Parrish, Heather M. Renner, Sarah K. Schoen, Timothy T. Jones, Mayumi L. Arimitsu, Kathy J. Kuletz, Barbara Bodenstein, Marisol García-Reyes, Rebecca S. Duerr, Robin M. Corcoran, Robb S. A. Kaler, Gerard J. McChesney, Richard T. Golightly, Heather A. Coletti, Robert M. Suryan, Hillary K. Burgess, Jackie Lindsey, Kirsten Lindquist, Peter M. Warzybok, Jaime Jahncke, Jan Roletto, William J. Sydeman.

#### References

- 1. Hobday AJ, Alexander L V, Perkins SE, Smale DA, Straub SC, Oliver ECJ, et al. A hierarchical approach to defining marine heatwaves. Prog Oceanogr [Internet]. 2016; 141:227–38. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2015.12.014
- 2. Meehl GA, Tebaldi C. More intense, more frequent, and longer lasting heat waves in the 21st century. Science (80-). 2004; 305(5686):994–7.
- Di Lorenzo E, Mantua N. Multi-year persistence of the 2014/15 North Pacific marine heatwave. Nat Clim Chang [Internet]. 2016; 6(November):1042–6. Available from: http://www.nature.com/doifinder/ 10.1038/nclimate3082
- Oliver ECJ, Donat MG, Burrows MT, Moore PJ, Smale DA, Alexander L V., et al. Longer and more frequent marine heatwaves over the past century. Nat Commun [Internet]. 2018; 9(1):1–12. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02088-w
- Frölicher TL, Fischer EM, Gruber N. Marine heatwaves under global warming. Nature. 2018; 560 (7718):360–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0383-9 PMID: 30111788

- 6. Bond NA, Cronin MF, Freeland H, Mantua N. Causes and impacts of the 2014 warm anomaly in the NE Pacific. Geophys Res Lett. 2015; 42:3414–20.
- 7. Gentemann CL, Fewings MR, García-Reyes M. Satellite sea surface temperatures along the West Coast of the United States during the 2014–2016 northeast Pacific marine heat wave. Geophys Res Lett. 2017; 44(1):312–9.
- Zaba KD, Rudnick DL. The 2014–2015 warming anomaly in the Southern California Current System observed by underwater gliders. Geophys Res Lett. 2016; 43(3):1241–8.
- 9. Wells BK, Schroeder ID, Bograd SJ, Hazen EL, Jacox MG, Leising AW, et al. State of the California Current 2016–2017: still anything but "normal" in the north. CalCOFI Rep. 2017; 58:1–55.
- Hobday AJ, Oliver ECJ, Gupta A Sen, Benthuysen JA, Burrows MT, Donat MG, et al. Categorizing and naming marine heatwaves. Oceanography. 2018; 31(2):162–73.
- 11. Whitney FA. Anomalous winter winds decrease 2014 transition zone productivity in the NE Pacific. Geophys Res Lett. 2015; 42:428–31.
- Leising AW, Schroeder I, Bograd S, Abell J, Durazo R, Caxiola-Castro G, et al. State of the California Current 2014–15: Impacts of the warm-water "Blob." CalCOFI Rep. 2015; 56:31–68.
- McCabe RM, Hickey BM, Kudela RM, Lefebvre KA, Adams NG, Bill BD, et al. An unprecedented coastwide toxic algal bloom linked to anomalous ocean conditions. Geophys Res Lett. 2016; 43 (19):10,366–10,376.
- 14. Jones T, Parrish JK, Peterson WT, Bjorkstedt EP, Bond NA, Ballance LT, et al. Massive mortality of a planktivorous seabird in response to a marine heatwave. Geophys Res Lett. 2018;3193–202.
- McClatchie S, Field J, Thompson AR, Gerrodette T, Lowry M, Al E. Food limitation of sea lion pups and the decline of forage off central and southern California. R Soc Open Sci. 2016; 3(October):150628. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.150628 PMID: 27069651
- 16. Savage K. Alaska and British Columbia large whale unusual mortality event summary report. NOAA Fish Report, Juneau. 2017;August:1–42.
- 17. Tuck LM. The Murres: their distribution, populations and biology—a study of the genus Uria. Ottawa: Canadian Wildlife Monograph Series; 1961. 260 p.
- Camphuysen CJ, Wright PJ, Leopold M, Huppop O, Reid JB. A review of the causes, and consequences at the population level, of mass mortalities of seabirds. ICES Coop Res Rep. 1999; 232(January):51–66.
- Hodder J, Graybill MR. Reproduction and survival of seabirds in Oregon during the 1982–1983 El Niño. Condor. 1985; 87(4):535–41.
- 20. Piatt JF, Van Pelt TI. Mass-mortality of guillemots (*Uria aalge*) in the Gulf of Alaska in 1993. Mar Pollut Bull. 1997; 34(8):656–62.
- Piatt JF, Drew GS, van Pelt TI, Abookire AA, Nielsen A, Shultz MT, et al. Biological Effects of the 1997/98 ENSO in Cook Inlet, Alaska. North Pacific Mar Sci Organ Sci Rep. 1999;No. 10:82–6.
- 22. Parrish JK, Bond NA, Nevins H, Mantua N, Loeffel R, Peterson WT, et al. Beached birds and physical forcing in the California Current System. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2007; 352:275–88.
- Rayner NA, Parker DE, Horton EB, Folland CK, Alexander LV, Rowell DP, et al. Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century. J Geophys Res. 2003; 108(D14):4407.
- Newton KM, Croll DA, Nevins HM, Benson SR, Harvey JT, Tershy BR. At-sea mortality of seabirds based on beachcast and offshore surveys. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2009; 392:295–305.
- 25. Roletto J, Mortenson J, Harrald I, Hall J, Grella L. Beached bird surveys and chronic oil pollution in Central California. Mar Ornithol. 2003; 31(1):21–8.
- Van Pelt TI, Piatt JF. Deposition and persistence of beachcast seabird carcasses. Mar Pollut Bull. 1995; 30(12):794–802.
- Tavares DC, De Moura JF, Siciliano S. Environmental predictors of seabird wrecks in a tropical coastal area. PLoS One. 2016; 11(12):1–20.
- 28. Parrish J. Beached birds: A COASST field guide to Alaska. Seattle, WA: Wavefall Press; 2008. 150 p.
- 29. Pyle P. Identification guide to North American Birds, Part II. Ann Arbor MI: Sheridan Books; 2008. 1– 836 p.
- Friend M, Franson JC. Field Manual of Wildlife Diseases. General Field Procedures and Diseases of Birds. Information and Technology Report 01. Washington, D.C.: US Department of the Interior and US Geological Survey.; 1999.

- **31.** Lefebvre KA, Quakenbush L, Frame E, Huntington KB, Sheffield G, Stimmelmayr R, et al. Prevalence of algal toxins in Alaskan marine mammals foraging in a changing arctic and subarctic environment. Harmful Algae. 2016; 55:13–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2016.01.007 PMID: 28073526
- 32. Van Franeker JA. Save the North Sea Fulmar-Litter-EcoQO Manual Part 1: Collection and dissection procedures. Alterra-rapport, Netherlands. 2004; 672:40.
- **33.** Work TM. Avian Necropsy Manual for Biologists in Remote Refuges. USGS Natl Wildl Heal Cent. 2000;NWHC-HFS:30.
- Broughton JM. Size of the bursa of Fabricus in relation to gonad size and age in Laysan and Blackfooted Albatrosses. Condor. 1994;203–7.
- Camphuysen CJ, VanFraneker JA. Ageing and sexing manual for stranded seabirds. Tech Doc 41 Handb Oil Impact Assessment, Ver 10 [Internet]. 2007;1–8. Available from: www.oiledwildlife.eu
- Duerr RS, Klasing KC. Tissue component and organ mass changes associated with declines in body mass in three seabird species received for rehabilitation in California. Mar Ornithol. 2015; 43(1):11–8.
- 37. USFWS. Standardized protocols for annual seabird monitoring camps at Aiktak, Buldir, Chowiet, St. George, St. Lazaria and St. Paul islands and Cape Lisburne in the Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge in 2016. AMNWR 2016/04. Homer, Alaska: USFWS Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge; 2016.
- Piatt JF, Harding AMA, Shultz MT, Speckman SG, van Pelt TI, Drew GS, et al. Seabirds as indicators of marine food supplies: Cairns revisited. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2007; 352:221–34.
- **39.** Wanless S, Harris MP, Redman P, Speakman JR. Low energy values of fish as a probable cause of a major seabird breeding failure in the North Sea. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2005; 294:1–8.
- Dragoo DE, Renner HM, Kaler RSA. Breeding status and population trends of seabirds in Alaska, 2018. USFWS Annu Rep. 2019;AMNWR(2019/03):1–71.
- 41. Horton CA, Suryan RM. Brown Pelicans: A new disturbance source to breeding Common Murres in Oregon? 2012; 38(2):84–8.
- **42.** Bailey EP, Davenport G. Die-off of common murres on the Alaska Peninsula and Unimak Island. Auk. 1971; 91:215–9.
- Piatt JF, Lensink CJ, Butler W, Kendziorek M, Nysewander DR. Immediate impact of the Exxon Valdez oil spill on marine birds. Auk. 1990; 107(2):387–97.
- 44. Piatt JF, Ford RG. How many seabirds were killed by the Exxon Valdez oil spill? Am Fish Soc Symp. 1996; 18:712–9.
- 45. Ford RG, Bonnell ML, Varoujean DH, Page GW, Carter HR, Sharp BE, et al. Total direct mortality of seabirds from the Exxon Valdez oil spill. In: Rice SD, Spies RB, Wolfe DA, Wright BA, editors. Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Symposium proceedings. American Fisheries Society Symposium 18; 1996. p. 684– 711.
- 46. Manuwal DA, Carter HR, Zimmerman TS, Orthmeyer DL. Biology and Conservation of the Common Murre in California, Oregon, Washington, and British Columbia Volume 1: Natural History and Population Trends. Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD/ITR-2000-0012. 2000. 132 p.
- Bayer RD, Lowe RW, Loeffel RE. Persistent Summer Mortalities of Common Murres along the Oregon Central Coast. Condor. 1991; 93(3):516–25.
- Morley TI, Fayet AL, Jessop H, Veron P, Veron M, Clark J, et al. The seabird wreck in the Bay of Biscay and Southwest Approaches in 2014: A review of reported mortality. Seabird. 2016; 29:22–38.
- MacDonald A, Heath M, Edwards M, Furness R, Pinnegar JK, Wanless S, et al. Climate driven trophic cascades affecting seabirds around the British Isles. Oceanogr Mar Biol—An Annu Rev. 2015; 53 (August):55–79.
- Burthe S, Daunt F, Butler A, Elston D a., Frederiksen M, Johns D, et al. Phenological trends and trophic mismatch across multiple levels of a North Sea pelagic food web. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2012; 454:119–33.
- Russell DJF, Wanless S, Collingham YC, Anderson BJ, Beale C, Reid JB, et al. Beyond climate envelopes: Bio-climate modelling accords with observed 25-year changes in seabird populations of the British Isles. Divers Distrib. 2015; 21(2):211–22.
- Frederiksen M, Furness RW, Wanless S. Regional variation in the role of bottom-up and top-down processes in controlling sandeel abundance in the North Sea. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2007; 337:279–86.
- Oliver ECJ, Benthuysen JA, Bindoff NL, Hobday AJ, Holbrook NJ, Mundy CN, et al. The unprecedented 2015/16 Tasman Sea marine heatwave. Nat Commun. 2017; 8:1–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> s41467-016-0009-6
- 54. Clifford H, Tennyson A, Miskelly C, Taylor G. New Zealand's largest recorded seabird wreck. South Bird. 2011;(47):10–2.

- 55. Rowe S, Plant A. Beach Patrollers' Guide to Stormcast Seabirds (Procellariiformes) Found in New Zealand. Ornitholgical Soc New Zeal Rep [Internet]. 2015; 28. Available from: http://osnz.org.nz/sites/osnz.org.nz/files/Beach patrollers guide 1212\_0.pdf
- Hampton S, Zafonte M. Factors influencing beached bird collection during the Luckenbach 2001– 2002 oil spill. Mar Ornithol. 2006; 34(2):109–13.
- Munilla I, Arcos JM, Oro D, Álvarez D, Leyenda PM, Velando A. Mass mortality of seabirds in the aftermath of the Prestige oil spill. Ecosphere. 2011; 2(7).
- **58.** Haney JC, Geiger HJ, Short JW. Bird mortality from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. II. Carcass sampling and exposure probability in the coastal Gulf of Mexico. 2014;1–8.
- Hyrenbach KD, Baduini CL, Hunt GL. Line transect estimates of Short-tailed Shearwater Puffinus tenuirostris mortality in the south-eastern Bering Sea, 1997–1999. Mar Ornithol. 2001; 29(1):11–8.
- Lee DE, Abraham CL, Warzybok PM, Bradley RW, Sydeman WJ. Age-specific survival, breeding success and recruitment in common murres *Uria aalge* of the California Current system. Auk. 2008; 125 (2):316–25.
- Erikstad KE, Reiertsen TK, Barrett RT, Vikebø F, Sandvik H. Seabird-fish interactions: the fall and rise of a common guillemot Uria aalge population. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2013 Feb 14; 475:267–76.
- **62.** Reed TE, Harris MP, Wanless S. Skipped breeding in common guillemots in a changing climate: restraint or constraint? Front Ecol Evol. 2015; 3(January):1–13.
- Piatt JF, Harding AMA. Population Ecology of Seabirds in Cook Inlet. In: Spies R, editor. Long-Term Ecological Change in the Northern Gulf of Alaska. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2007. p. 335–52.
- Vader W, Barrett RT, Erikstad KE, Strann KB. Differential responses of common and thick-billed murres to a crash in the capelin stock in the southern Bering Sea. Stud Avian Biol. 1990; 14:175–80.
- Sakuma KM, Field JC, Mantua NJ, Ralston S. Anomalous epipelagic micronekton assemblage patterns in the neritic waters of the California Current in spring 2015 during a period of extreme ocean conditions. CalCOFI Rep. 2016; 57:163–83.
- 66. Siddon E, Zador S. Ecosystem Considerations 2017: Status of the Eastern Bering Sea Marine Ecosystem. Natl Mar Fish Serv Rep [Internet]. 2017; (November). Available from: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/alaska/ecosystems/ecosystem-status-reports-gulf-alaska-bering-sea-and-aleutian-islands
- 67. Zador SG, Yasumiishi E. Ecosystem Considerations 2016 Status of the Gulf of Alaska Marine Ecosystem. Natl Mar Fish Serv Rep [Internet]. 2016;(December):146. Available from: https://www.fisheries. noaa.gov/alaska/ecosystems/ecosystem-status-reports-gulf-alaska-bering-sea-and-aleutian-islands
- 68. Zador S. Ecosystem Considerations 2015 Status of Alaska's Marine Ecosystems. Natl Mar Fish Serv Rep [Internet]. 2015;(November):297. Available from: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/alaska/ ecosystems/ecosystem-status-reports-gulf-alaska-bering-sea-and-aleutian-islands
- Holsman KK, Aydin K, Sullivan J, Hurst T, Kruse GH. Climate effects and bottom-up controls on growth and size-at-age of Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) in Alaska (USA). Fish Oceanogr. 2018; 28(3):345–58.
- Harvey C, Garfield T. Ecosystem Status Report of the California Current for 2017. NOAA Tech Memo NMFS-NWFSC-139. 2017;1–22.
- McClatchie S, Goericke R, Leising A, Auth TD, Al E. State of the California Current 2015–16: Comparisons with the 1997–98 El Niño. CalCOFI Rep. 2016; 57:5–61.
- Cavole LM, Demko AM, Diner RE, Giddings A, Koester I, Pagniello C, et al. Biological Impacts of the 2013–2015 Warm-Water Anomaly in the Northeast Pacific. Oceanography. 2016; 29(2):273–85.
- 73. Neilson JL, Gabriele CM, Taylor-Thomas L. Recent declines in Humpback Whale population metrics in Glacier Bay & Icy Strait–Is their heyday over? [Internet]. Vol. CURRENTS, National Park Service. 2018. Available from: https://www.nps.gov/glba/blogs/currents
- 74. García-Borboroglu P, Boersma PD, Ruoppolo V, Pinho-da-Silva-Filho R, Corrado-Adornes A, Conte-Sena D, et al. Magellanic penguin mortality in 2008 along the SW Atlantic coast. Mar Pollut Bull. 2010; 60(10):1652–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.07.006 PMID: 20674946
- 75. Baduini CL, Lovvorn JR, Hunt GL. Determining the body condition of short-tailed shearwaters: implications for migratory flight ranges and starvation events. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2001; 222:265–77.
- Dänhardt A, Becker PH. Herring and Sprat Abundance Indices Predict Chick Growth and Reproductive Performance of Common Terns Breeding in the Wadden Sea. Ecosystems. 2011 May 7; 14 (5):791–803.
- Borstad G, Crawford W, Hipfner JM, Thomson R, Hyatt K. Environmental control of the breeding success of rhinoceros auklets at Triangle Island, British Columbia. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2011 Mar 1; 424:285–302.

- Tavares DC, Moura JF, Merico A, Siciliano S. Mortality of seabirds migrating across the tropical Atlantic in relation to oceanographic processes. Anim Conserv. 2019; 2004:acv.12539.
- Ainley DG, Spear LB, Allen SG, Ribic CA. Temporal and Spatial Patterns in the Diet of the Common Murre in California Waters. Condor. 1996; 98(4):691–705.
- Gladics AJ, Suryan RM, Brodeur RD, Segui LM, Filliger LZ. Constancy and change in marine predator diets across a shift in oceanographic conditions in the Northern California Current. Mar Biol. 2014; 161 (4):837–51.
- **81.** Miller AK, Sydeman WJ. Rockfish response to low-frequency ocean climate change as revealed by the diet of a marine bird over multiple time scales. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2004; 281:207–16.
- 82. Piatt JF, Anderson P. Response of Common Murres to the Exxon Valdez oil spill and long-term changes in the Gulf of Alaska marine ecosystem. Am Fish Soc Symp. 1996; 18:720–37.
- Schrimpf M, Parrish JK, Pearson SF. Trade-offs in prey quality and quantity revealed through the behavioral compensation of breeding seabirds. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2012 Jul 24; 460:247–59.
- Elliott KH, Gaston AJ. Flight speeds of two seabirds: a test of Norberg's hypothesis. Ibis (Lond 1859). 2005; 147:783–9.
- 85. Piatt JF, Nettleship DN. Diving depths of four Alcids. Auk. 1985; 102:293-7.
- Ellis HI, Gabrielsen GW. Energetics of Free-Ranging Seabirds. In: Schreiber EA, Burger J, editors. Biology of Marine Birds. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2002. p. 359–407.
- VanPelt TI, Piatt JF, Lance BK, Roby DD. Proximate Composition and Energy Density of Some North Pacific Forage Fishes. Comp Biochem Physiol. 1997; 118(4):1393–8.
- Ainley DG, Nettleship DN, Carter HR, Storey AE. Common Murre (*Uria aalge*), version 2.0. In: Gill A.F. and Gill F.B., editor. Birds of North America. Wervsion 2. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Lab of Ornitology; 2002.
- Lance MM, Thompson CW. Overlap in diets and foraging of Common Murres (*Uria aalge*) and Rhinoceros Auklets (*Cerorhinca monocerata*) after the breeding season. Auk. 2005; 122:887–901.
- Sanger GA. Winter diets of Common Murres and Marbled Murrelets in Kachemak Bay, Alaska. Condor. 1987; 89(2):426–30.
- Romano MD, Piatt JF, Roby DD. Testing the junk-food hypothesis on marine birds: Effects of prey type on growth and development. Waterbirds. 2006; 29(4):407–524.
- **92.** Holsman KK, Aydin K. Comparative methods for evaluating climate change impacts on the foraging ecology of Alaskan groundfish. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2015; 521:217–35.
- 93. Hunt GL, Kato H, Mckinnell SM. Predation by marine birds and mammals in the subarctic North Pacific Ocean. PICES Sci Rep. 2000;(No. 14).
- Wanless S, Harris MP, Newell MA, Speakman JR, Daunt F. Community-wide decline in the occurrence of lesser sandeels *Ammodytes marinus* in seabird chick diets at a North Sea colony. 2018; 600:193–206.
- Harding AMA, Piatt JF, Schmutz JA. Seabird behavior as an indicator of food supplies: Sensitivity across the breeding season. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2007;352.
- Methven DA, Piatt JF. Seasonal abundance and vertical distribution of capelin (*Mallotus villosus*) in relation to water temperature at a coastal site off eastern Newfound. ICES J Mar Sci. 1991; 48:187– 93.
- Dulvy NK, Rogers SI, Jennings S, Stelzenmüller V, Dye SR, Skjoldal HR. Climate change and deepening of the North Sea fish assemblage: A biotic indicator of warming seas. J Appl Ecol. 2008; 45 (4):1029–39.
- Mueter FJ, Litzow MA. Sea ice retreat alters the biogeography of the Bering Sea continental shelf. Ecol Appl. 2008; 18(2):309–20. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0564.1 PMID: 18488598
- Hollowed AB, Barbeaux SJ, Cokelet ED, Farley E V., Kotwicki S, Ressler PH, et al. Effects of climate variations on pelagic ocean habitats and their role in structuring forage fish distributions in the Bering Sea. Deep Sea Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr. 2012; 65–70:230–50.
- McGowan DW, Goldstein ED, Arimitsu ML, Deary AL, Ormseth O, De Robertis A, et al. Spatial and temporal dynamics of Pacific capelin (*Mallotus catervarius*) in the Gulf of Alaska: implications for ecosystem-based fishery management. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2019;accepted. <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/ meps12798</u>
- **101.** Peterson WT, Fisher J, Strub T, Du X, Risien C, Peterson J, et al. The pelagic ecosystem in the Northern California Current off Oregon during the 2014–2016 warm anomalies within the context of the past 20 years. J Geophys Res. 2017; 122:7267–90.
- Batten SD, Raitsos DE, Danielson S, Hopcroft R, Coyle K, McQuatters-Gollop A. Interannual variability in lower trophic levels on the Alaskan Shelf. Deep Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr. 2018; 147:79– 86.

- 103. Auth TD, Daly EA, Brodeur RD, Fisher JL. Phenological and distributional shifts in ichthyoplankton associated with recent warming in the northeast Pacific Ocean. Glob Chang Biol. 2018; 24(1):259–72. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13872 PMID: 28948709
- Maccall AD, Sydeman WJ, Davison PC, Thayer JA. Recent collapse of northern anchovy biomass off California. Fish Res. 2016; 175:87–94.
- 105. Checkley DM, Asch RG, Rykaczewski RR. Climate, anchovy, and sardine. Ann Rev Mar Sci. 2017; 9 (1):469–93.
- 106. Gorman KB, Kline TC, Roberts ME, Sewall FF, Heintz RA, Pegau WS. Spatial and temporal variation in winter condition of juvenile Pacific herring (*Clupea pallasi*) in Prince William Sound, Alaska: Oceanographic exchange with the Gulf of Alaska. Deep Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr. 2018; 147:116–26.
- 107. VonBiela V, Arimitsu ML, Piatt JF, Heflin BM, Schoen S, Trowbridge JL, et al. Extreme reduction in nutrional value of a key forage fish during the Pacific marine heatwave of 2014–2016. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2019; 613:171–82.
- 108. Cunningham J, Elliott K, Cottenie K, Hatch S, Jacobs S. Individual foraging location, but not dietary, specialization: implications for rhinoceros auklets as samplers of forage fish. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2018; 605:225–40.
- 109. Sydeman WJ, Piatt JF, Thompson SA, Garcia-Reyes M, Hatch SA, Arimitsu ML, et al. Puffins reveal contrasting relationships between forage fish and ocean climate in the North Pacific. Fish Oceanogr. 2017; 26:379–95.
- Anderson PJ, Piatt JF. Community reorganization in the Gulf of Alaska following ocean climate regime shift. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1999; 189:117–23.
- Arimitsu ML, Piatt JF, Heflin BM, Von Biela V, Schoen SK. Monitoring long-term changes in forage fish distribution, abundance and body condition. Exxon Vald Oil Spill Counc Proj 161201114-O Final Rep. 2018;1–50.
- 112. Thompson SA, Arimitsu ML, Hatch SA, Piatt JF. Effects of ocean climate on the length and condition of forage fish in the Gulf of Alaska. Fish Oceanogr. 2019; 28(6):658–71.
- 113. Baker MR, Matta ME, Beaulieu M, Paris N, Huber S, Graham OJ, et al. Intra-seasonal and inter-annual patterns in the demographics of sand lance and response to environmental drivers in the North Pacific. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2019; 617–618:221–44.
- 114. Biela VR Von, Arimitsu ML, Piatt JF, Heflin B, Schoen SK, Trowbridge JL, et al. Extreme reduction in nutritional value of a key forage fish during the Pacific marine heatwave of 2014 – 2016. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2019; 613:171–82.
- 115. Sreenivasan A, Heintz R. Estimation of the relationship between growth, consumption, and energy allocation in juvenile pacific cod (*Gadus macrocephalus*) as a function of temperature and ration. Deep Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr. 2016; 132:154–61.
- 116. Brodeur R, Hunsicker M, Hann A, Miller T. Effects of warming ocean conditions on feeding ecology of small pelagic fishes in a coastal upwelling ecosystem: a shift to gelatinous food sources. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2018;
- Bruno JF, Carr LA, O'Connor MI. Exploring the role of temperature in the ocean through metabolic scaling. Ecology. 2015; 96(12):3126–40. https://doi.org/10.1890/14-1954.1 PMID: 26909420
- 118. Vandersea MW, Kibler SR, Tester PA, Holderied K, Hondolero DE, Powell K, et al. Environmental factors influencing the distribution and abundance of Alexandrium catenella in Kachemak bay and lower cook inlet, Alaska. Harmful Algae. 2018; 77:81–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2018.06.008 PMID: 30005804
- Shumway SE, Allen SM, Boersma PD. Marine birds and harmful algal blooms: Sporadic victims or under-reported events? Harmful Algae. 2003; 2(1):1–17.
- 120. Gibble C, Duerr R, Bodenstein B, Lindquist K, Lindsey J, Beck J, et al. Investigation of a largescale Common Murre (*Uria aalge*) mortality event in California, USA, in 2015. J Wildl Dis. 2018; 54(3):569– 74. https://doi.org/10.7589/2017-07-179 PMID: 29547358
- 121. Ainley DG., Spear LB., Allen SG., Ribic CA. Temporal and spatial patterns in the diet of the Common Murre in California waters. Condor. 1996; 98(4):691–705.
- 122. Shearn-Bochsler V, Lance EW, Corcoran R, Piatt J, Bodenstein B, Frame E, et al. Fatal Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning in Kittlitz's Murrelet (*Brachyramphus brevirostris*) Nestlings, Alaska, USA. J Wildl Dis. 2014; 50(4):933–7. https://doi.org/10.7589/2013-11-296 PMID: 25098307
- 123. Van Hemert C, Schoen SK, Litaker RW, Smith MM, Arimitsu ML, Piatt JF, et al. Algal toxins in Alaska seabirds following a marine heatwave and large-scale die-off of Common Murres. Harmful Algae. 2019;in press.
- 124. Lewitus AJ, Horner RA, Caron DA, Garcia-Mendoza E, Hickey BM, Hunter M, et al. Harmful algal blooms along the North American west coast region: History, trends, causes, and impacts. Harmful Algae. 2012; 19:133–59.

- 125. Cokelet ED, Bond NA, Hollowed AB, Palsson WA, Stabeno PJ, Li L, et al. How "The Blob" affected groundfish distributions in the Gulf of Alaska. Fish Oceanogr. 2019; 28(4):434–53.
- **126.** Laevastu T. The effects of temperature anomalies on the fluctuation of fish stocks. Int Counc Explor Sea. 1984; 185:214–25.
- 127. Brett JR. Energetic responses of salmon to temperature. A study of some thermal relations in the physiology and freshwater ecology of sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*). Am Zool. 1971; 11:99–113.
- **128.** Daly EA, Brodeur RD. Warming ocean conditions relate to increased trophic requirements of threatened and endangered salmon. PLoS One. 2015; 10(12):1–23.
- 129. Barbeaux S, Aydin K, Fissel B, Holsman K, Palsson W, Shotwell K, et al. Chapter 2: Assessment of the Pacific cod stock in the Gulf of Alaska. North Pacific Fish Manag Counc Gulf Alaska Stock Assess Fish Eval Rep. 2018;(December):1–160.
- 130. Witherell D, Armstrong J. Groundfish Species Profiles 2015 [Internet]. North Pacific Fishery Management Council Report. Anchorage, Alaska: North Pacific Fishery Management Council; 2015. 1–68 p. Available from: www.npfmc.org
- 131. Aydin KY, Gaichas SK, Ortiz I, Kinzey D, Friday NA. A Comparison of the Bering Sea, Gulf of Alaska, and Aleutian Islands large marine ecosystems through food web modeling. NOAA Tech Memo NMFS. 2007;AFSC-178.
- **132.** Stephensen SW, Irons DB. Comparison of colonial breeding seabirds in the eastern Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska. Mar Ornithol. 2003; 31(2):167–73.
- 133. Roth JE, Nur N, Warzybok P, Sydeman WJ. Annual prey consumption of a dominant seabird, the common murre, in the California Current system. ICES J Mar Sci. 2008; 65(6):1046–56.
- Aydin KY, Mueter FJ. The Bering Sea—A dynamic food web perspective. Deep Sea Res Part II. 2007; 54:2501–25.
- **135.** Gaichas SK, Skaret G, Falk-Petersen J, Link JS, Overholtz W, Megrey BA, et al. A comparison of community and trophic structure in five marine ecosystems based on energy budgets and system metrics. Prog Oceanogr. 2009 Apr; 81:47–62.
- 136. Engelhard GH, Peck MA, Rindorf A, Smout SC, van Deurs M, Raab K, et al. Forage fish, their fisheries, and their predators: who drives whom? ICES J Mar Sci. 2013; 70:1439–50.
- 137. Garfield TD, Harvey C. California Current Integrated Ecosystem Assessment (CCIEA) State of the California Current Report, 2016. Pacific Fish Manag Counc. 2016;(March):1–20.
- **138.** PFMC. Status of the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery. Pacific Fish Manag Counc—Stock Assess Rep. 2016;(December):1–310.
- 139. Holsman KK, Ianelli JN, Aydin K. 2017 Multi-species Stock Assessment for walleye pollock, Pacific cod, and arrowtooth flounder in the Eastern Bering Sea. Stock Assess Fish Eval Rep Groundf Resour Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Reg. 2017;(November):181–218.
- 140. Moffitt EA, Punt AE, Holsman K, Aydin KY, Ianelli JN, Ortiz I. Moving towards ecosystem-based fisheries management: Options for parameterizing multi-species biological reference points. Deep Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr. 2016; 134:350–9.
- 141. Springer AM, Van Vliet GB. Climate change, pink salmon, and the nexus between bottom-up and topdown forcing in the subarctic Pacific Ocean and Bering Sea. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2014; 111(18): E1880–8. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319089111 PMID: 24706809
- 142. Koehn LE, Essington TE, Marshall KN, Sydeman WJ, Szoboszlai AI, Thayer JA. Trade-offs between forage fish fisheries and their predators in the California Current. 2017;
- 143. Zador S, Yasumiishi E. Ecosystem Status Report 2018 Gulf of Alaska. Natl Mar Fish Serv Rep [Internet]. 2018;(December):1–194. Available from: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/alaska/ecosystems/ ecosystem-status-reports-gulf-alaska-bering-sea-and-aleutian-islands
- 144. Hunt GL Jr., Drew GS, Jahncke J, Piatt JF. Prey consumption and energy transfer by marine birds in the Gulf of Alaska. Deep Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr. 2005; 52(5–6).
- 145. Springer AM, Piatt JF, Shuntov VP, Van Vliet GB, Vladimirov VL, Kuzin AE, et al. Marine birds and mammals of the Pacific Subarctic Gyres. Prog Oceanogr. 1999; 43(2–4).
- 146. Toge K, Yamashita R, Kazama K, Fukuwaka M, Yamamura O, Watanuki Y. The relationship between pink salmon biomass and the body condition of short-tailed shearwaters in the Bering Sea: can fish compete with seabirds? Proc R Soc B. 2011 Sep 7; 278:2584–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010</u>. 2345 PMID: 21270043
- 147. Springer AM, Vliet GB Van, Bool N, Crowley M, Fullagar P, Lea M. Transhemispheric ecosystem disservices of pink salmon in a Pacific Ocean macrosystem. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2018; 115(22):E5038– 45. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1720577115 PMID: 29760093